Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00401951)

## Tectonophysics

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/tecto](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/tecto)

# Regional-scale polydiapirism predating the Neoarchean Yilgarn Orogeny



**TECTONOPHYSICS** 

I. Zibr[a](#page-0-0) $\rm^{a,b,*},$  $\rm^{a,b,*},$  $\rm^{a,b,*},$  Y. Lu $\rm^{a,c},$  $\rm^{a,c},$  $\rm^{a,c},$  F. Clos $\rm^b,$  R.F. Weinberg $\rm^b,$  M. Peternell $\rm^d,$  M.T.D. Wingate $\rm^{a,c},$  $\rm^{a,c},$  $\rm^{a,c},$  M. Prause $\rm^a,$ M. Schill[e](#page-0-5)r<sup>e</sup>, R. Tilhac<sup>f</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> *Geological Survey of Western Australia, 100 Plain Street, 6004 East Perth, Western Australia, Australia*

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>b</sup> *School of Earth, Atmosphere and Environment, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia*

<span id="page-0-3"></span><sup>c</sup> *Centre for Exploration Targeting and Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Core to Crust Fluid Systems (CCFS), School of Earth Sciences, The University of*

*Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia*

<span id="page-0-4"></span><sup>d</sup> *Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 460, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden*

<span id="page-0-5"></span><sup>e</sup> *Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen Geschwister-Scholl-Platz, 72074 Tübingen, Germany*

<span id="page-0-6"></span>f *ARC Centre of Excellence for Core to Crust Fluid Systems (CCFS) and GEMOC, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia*

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:* Structural geology Tectonics Microstructures Quartz crystallographic preferred orientation Zircon isotopes

#### ABSTRACT

Gneiss domes occur in a wide variety of orogenic and anorogenic domains of the continental crust, and play a major role in lithosphere dynamics by allowing upwards transfer of heat and mass. They commonly contain a core of granites and/or migmatites, overlain by a mantle of lower-grade rocks. Evolutionary models of many gneiss domes are controversial.

Here we use new structural and zircon isotopic data to unravel the tectono-magmatic evolution of the Yalgoo dome and surroundings, at the margins of the Neoarchean Yilgarn Orogen, Western Australia. The study area includes at least seven granite–migmatite domes (5–70 km in average diameter), and several subdomes within the larger domes, all showing comparable structural features and age. In each dome, a concentric domal foliation is concordant with granite–greenstone contacts, bearing a radial, outward-plunging lineation. The bulk of the structures in each dome reflect magmatic flow, with pervasive subsolidus fabrics occurring only along the outer dome margins, and reflecting dome-up kinematics. Narrow greenstone keels pinched between domes and subdomes display vertical constriction, in an area regionally dominated by flattening along the steep sides of the deeply-eroded domes, and along the flat-laying dome roofs.

The regional structural pattern and the kinematics of the high-strain zones are best explained by sequential emplacement of nested diapirs, which delivered large volumes of granitic magma in a c. 20 Myr time-span. The dome-and-keel regional architecture and the internal structures of each dome resulted therefore from multiscale polydiapirism. These diapirs were later weakly overprinted by a tectonic fabric that developed during the Neoarchean Yilgarn Orogeny. The contrast between the Yalgoo region and the rest of the craton, which was strongly reworked by this orogeny, highlights the dichotomy of tectonic styles in Archean terranes, demonstrating that diapirism dominated in times of tectonic quiescence.

#### **1. Introduction**

Gneiss domes and gneiss dome systems ([Eskola, 1948;](#page-24-0) [Yin, 2004\)](#page-25-0) are striking geological features that occur in a variety of geological settings, from Precambrian cratons to present-day active orogens [\(Little](#page-24-1) [et al., 2011](#page-24-1)). They play a major role in lithosphere dynamics by allowing upwards transfer of heat and material, thus contributing to the stabilization of the continental crust [\(Whitney et al., 2004\)](#page-25-1). Gneiss domes show domal foliation patterns, and commonly include granites, migmatites, and/or other high-grade metamorphic rocks in their cores,

enveloped by a mantle of dominantly metasedimentary and metavolcanic, lower-grade rocks.

The literature offers a wide variety of mechanisms for dome formation, and evolutionary models of many gneiss domes are still controversial ([Mareschal and West, 1980](#page-24-2)). Early field and conceptual studies emphasized the role of Rayleigh–Taylor instabilities and diapirism ([Bouhallier et al., 1995](#page-23-0); [Cruden, 1988;](#page-23-1) [Dixon, 1975](#page-24-3); [Jackson and](#page-24-4) [Talbot, 1989;](#page-24-4) [Ramberg, 1972](#page-24-5); [Weinberg and Podladchikov, 1995](#page-25-2)), stressing the role of lower-crustal viscous flow and density contrast in shaping upper crustal geometries and kinematics. Other contributions

<span id="page-0-2"></span>⁎ Corresponding author at: Geological Survey of Western Australia, 100 Plain Street, 6004 East Perth, Western Australia, Australia. *E-mail address:* [ivan.zibra@dmirs.wa.gov.au](mailto:ivan.zibra@dmirs.wa.gov.au) (I. Zibra).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228375>

Received 14 October 2019; Received in revised form 10 February 2020; Accepted 15 February 2020 Available online 19 February 2020 0040-1951/ Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

focused on the role of tectonic activity in the strong upper crust in triggering and/or influencing the pattern of lower-crustal flow (e.g. [Lister and Davis, 1989](#page-24-6)). Gneiss domes have been related to orthogonal superposed folding ([Ramsay, 1967](#page-24-7)), duplex development in thrust systems, décollement faults in extensional environments (forming metamorphic core complexes), and fold culminations in strike-slip systems ([Yin, 2004](#page-25-0) for a review). A variety of processes may have cooperated or competed in variable extent and/or at different stages of dome formation [\(Vanderhaeghe et al., 2011](#page-25-3)), so that a superficially simple domal architecture may in fact represent the end product of a complex tectono-magmatic and metamorphic evolution ([François et al., 2014](#page-24-8); [Kruckenberg et al., 2011\)](#page-24-9).

Different processes of dome formation should be associated with typical dome-scale finite strain patterns, and so structural analysis is a fundamental tool for unravelling their evolution. For example, gneiss domes formed by superposed folds should show patterns of planar and linear structures consistent with doubly-folded surfaces [\(Whitney et al.,](#page-25-1) [2004\)](#page-25-1). Syn-orogenic domes should exhibit lineation patterns concordant with regional-scale strain fields [\(Yin, 2004\)](#page-25-0). In contrast, diapiric domes may be discordant to regional structures and show radial lineation arrangements, evidence of magmatic flow and systematic spatial variations in the shape of the finite strain ellipsoid [\(Bouhallier](#page-23-0) [et al., 1995;](#page-23-0) [Brun et al., 1981](#page-23-2); [Chardon et al., 1996;](#page-23-3) [Dixon and](#page-24-10) [Summers, 1983](#page-24-10)). Diapiric gneiss dome system may include polydiapirs, which consist of diapirs within diapirs (termed also nested diapirs, or subdomes), and/or of clusters of individual diapirs within a batholith ([Stephansson, 1975](#page-24-11)). They can also form from multiple episodes of heat transfer over longer time periods (e.g. [Van Kranendonk et al., 2004](#page-25-4)). Polydiapirism results from sequential or simultaneous multiwavelength gravitational instabilities, primarily triggered by vertical and lateral variations in density, viscosity and crustal thickness ([Weinberg and](#page-25-5) [Schmeling, 1992](#page-25-5)).

Gneiss domes are common in Archean granite–greenstone terranes ([Whitney et al., 2004](#page-25-1)), where the dome-and-keel architecture commonly reflects tectonic activity dominated by body forces, with igneous diapirism assisted by greenstone sagduction ([Bouhallier et al., 1995](#page-23-0); [Choukroune et al., 1997;](#page-23-4) [Collins, 1989](#page-23-5)). In contrast, other Archean terranes, such as those composing the Yilgarn Craton (Western Australia) include linear, upright fold belts and crustal-scale shear zones juxtaposing ribbon-like domains [\(Calvert and Ludden, 1999](#page-23-6); [Cruden](#page-24-12) [et al., 2006;](#page-24-12) [Myers, 1995;](#page-24-13) [Zibra et al., 2017](#page-25-6)). Such a structural grain is prominent in the central and eastern part of the craton, but is in striking contrast with the regional-scale dome-and-keel architecture exposed along the western margin of the Yilgarn Craton [\(Figs. 1 and 2](#page-2-0)). In this area, the Yalgoo dome was originally described as the result of superposed folds [\(Myers and Watkins, 1985](#page-24-14)). More recently, using structural data from a small portion of the core of the dome, [Clos et al. \(2019a\)](#page-23-7) suggested that diapirism occurred prior to compressional orogenesis. However, the relationships between these local structures (at  $\sim$ 1-10 km-scale, documented by [Clos et al. \(2019a, 2019b\)](#page-23-7)) and regional-scale structures associated with the dome-and-keel architecture are unknown, and the relative timing between magmatism, diapirism and deformation in the Yalgoo area is presently poorly constrained. In this contribution we use new regional-scale meso- and microstructural data, supported by new zircon Lu-Hf isotope data and the available geochronology data, to unravel the tectono-magmatic evolution of the broader Yalgoo region.

#### **2. Geological setting**

#### *2.1. The Yilgarn Craton*

The Youanmi Terrane forms most of the western half of the Archean Yilgarn Craton ([Fig. 1a](#page-2-0)) and includes 3000–2720 Ma greenstones intruded by 2960–2600 Ma granitic rocks ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0)b; [Van Kranendonk et al.,](#page-25-7) [2013\)](#page-25-7). Greenstones developed on older continental crust ([Ivanic et al.,](#page-24-15)

[2012\)](#page-24-15) and mainly include mafic–ultramafic rocks associated with banded iron-formation (BIF), reflecting deep-marine environments ([Klein, 2005](#page-24-16)), in a time span that was likely dominated by periods of lithospheric extension ([Van Kranendonk et al., 2013;](#page-25-7) [Smithies et al.,](#page-24-17) [2018\)](#page-24-17). Greenstone stratigraphy records a drastic change in depositional environment during the c. 2730 Ma onset of the Neoarchean Yilgarn Orogeny ([Zibra et al., 2017\)](#page-25-6), with the appearance of syntectonic clastic deposits above a regional-scale unconformity [\(Chen et al., 2003](#page-23-8); [Morris](#page-24-18) [et al., 2007](#page-24-18); [Van Kranendonk et al., 2013\)](#page-25-7). Granitic magmatism throughout the craton occurred nearly continuously for c. 200 Myr, before and during the Yilgarn Orogeny [\(Ivanic et al., 2012](#page-24-15); [Smithies](#page-24-17) [et al., 2018](#page-24-17)).

Most of the  $\sim$ 800 km-wide Yilgarn Orogen Craton includes a series of east-dipping, crustal-scale shear zones ([Drummond et al., 2000](#page-24-19); [van](#page-25-8) [der Velden et al., 2006](#page-25-8); [Wilde et al., 1996;](#page-25-9) [Zibra et al., 2014](#page-25-10)), juxtaposing domains with contrasting stratigraphy ([Wyche et al., 2012](#page-25-11)). Some of these large-scale structures represent long-lived, transpressional shear zones that were active during the synorogenic emplacement of granite plutons ([Zibra et al., 2014;](#page-25-10) [Zibra et al., 2017](#page-25-6)). These shear zones are broadly north-striking and can be followed for hundreds of kilometres along strike ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0)), and are flanked by north-striking, high-strain greenstone belts ([Vearncombe, 1998\)](#page-25-12).

#### *2.2. The Yalgoo area*

In the western portion of the Youanmi Terrane, the granite–greenstone terranes of the Yalgoo area ([Figs. 1 and 2\)](#page-2-0) define dome-and-keel patterns that closely resemble the archetypal map-scale pattern of the East Pilbara Terrane, one of the areas where the dome-and-keel architecture was first studied in detail [\(Collins, 1989](#page-23-5)).

Greenstones of the Yalgoo area mainly includes 2960–2760 Ma, ≥8 km-thick, mafic–ultramafic successions ([Ivanic et al., 2015;](#page-24-20) [Zibra](#page-25-13) [et al., 2016\)](#page-25-13), unconformably overlain by the 2–3 km-thick siliciclastic Mougooderra Formation [\(Figs. 1 and 2](#page-2-0), and Supplementary file S1; [Watkins and Hickman, 1990\)](#page-25-14). Mudstone from the top of this sequence provided a 2758  $\pm$  4 [\(Lu et al., 2016a](#page-24-21)) maximum depositional age, while a sandstone sampled near the base of the formation returned 2841–2792 Ma detrital zircons, typical of a greenstone source area ([Lu](#page-24-22) [et al., 2018a\)](#page-24-22). The Mougooderra Formation occurs in the Yalgoo area only ([Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0), and is roughly coeval with the regionally-extensive, c. 2750 Ma BIF-bearing sequence of the Wilgie Mia Formation ([Van](#page-25-7) [Kranendonk et al., 2013\)](#page-25-7). Granite plutons in the area cluster around four discrete age groups [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)). The Kynea Migmatite, whose tonalite protolith was emplaced at c. 2950 Ma into older greenstone slivers, is exposed in the core of Yalgoo and Gullewa domes [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0); [Clos et al.,](#page-23-7) [2019a;](#page-23-7) [Zibra et al., 2016\)](#page-25-13). Migmatites occur as rafts within the c. 2760–2750 Ma Goonetarra Granodiorite and other three roughly coeval, voluminous granite plutons that reflect a regional-scale event of crustal reworking [\(Clos et al., 2019b](#page-23-9); [Ivanic et al., 2012\)](#page-24-15). The domeand-keel architecture of the Yalgoo area is postdated by the c. 2700 Ma, synorogenic Lakeside pluton ([Zibra et al., 2014\)](#page-25-10), which was emplaced along a high-temperature shear zone named here Mulloo shear zone ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)), and by the post-orogenic, 2640–2600 Ma Bald Rock Supersuite ([Van Kranendonk et al., 2013](#page-25-7)).

#### *2.3. Previous work on the Yalgoo area*

Regional mapping conducted by the Geological Survey of Western Australia (GSWA) in the early 1980s (1:250.000 scale) provided firstorder constraints on the lithological and structural architecture of the study area, and led [Myers and Watkins \(1985\)](#page-24-14) to interpret the domeand-keel architecture of the Yalgoo area as a result of superposed fold generated during regional deformation. GSWA remapped the study area in more detail in recent years ([Ivanic et al., 2015](#page-24-20); [Zibra et al., 2016](#page-25-13)), in conjunction with detailed structural studies in the core of the Yalgoo dome ([Clos et al., 2019a;](#page-23-7) [Fenwick, 2014](#page-24-23)). Here, [Clos et al. \(2019a\)](#page-23-7)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 1.** (a) Simplified map of the western portion of the Yilgarn Craton, showing the main terranes ([Cassidy et al., 2006](#page-23-10)) and the network of craton-scale shear zones. The rectangle shows the location of (b). Abbreviations: YT, Youanmi Terrane; NT, Narryer Terrane; EGST, Eastern Goldfields Superterrane. (b) Interpreted geological map of the central portion of the Youanmi Terrane. Map compilation is based on combined field and geophysical data. Abbreviations: BJD, Badja décollement; MT, Mulloo shear zone;

documented that dome-and-basin structures resulted from vertical sheath folds, inferred to represent the local expression of regional-scale structures associated with diapirism. Petrologic and geochemical data from the Kynea Migmatite are in fact consistent with diapirism, indicating water-fluxed melting and isothermal decompression from ~7 to  $\sim$ 4 kbar at  $\sim$ 750°C, during the emplacement of the Goonetarra Granodiorite ([Clos et al., 2019b\)](#page-23-9). Based on these data, [Clos et al.](#page-23-9) [\(2019b\)](#page-23-9) proposed that both granitic magmatism and the development of the dome-and-keel architecture in the Yalgoo dome represent the end product of prolonged mantle magmatism, which first developed a  $\geq$ 8 km-thick greenstone pile overlying felsic crust; the consequent thermal anomaly in turn induced crustal magmatism and diapirism. In the core of the Yalgoo dome, diapirism-related structures recorded a minor overprint associated with the emplacement of granite dykes at c. 2700 Ma, during the Yilgarn Orogeny ([Clos et al., 2019a](#page-23-7)). Based on regional geochemical data collected by GSWA, [Nebel et al. \(2018\)](#page-24-24) suggested that the c. 300 Myr-long secular evolution of granite geochemistry in the Yalgoo area resulted from multiple melting events within long-lived lithospheric drips, triggered by mantle convection.

In summary, the core of the Yalgoo dome has provided local structural and petrologic data consistent with diapirism. In this context, we focus here on: (i) testing whether the small-scale structures described in the recent literature represent the local expression of largescale diapirism; and (ii) constrain the relationship between the preorogenic dome-and-keel architecture and orogenic structures.

#### **3. The domal fabric in the Yalgoo area**

In the Yalgoo area we identify four large granitic domes (40–70 km in average diameter) and three smaller domes (5–10 km in average diameter, [Fig. 2](#page-3-0)). The large domes are deeply eroded, locally down to their migmatitic cores (Yalgoo and Gullewa domes), they are commonly steep-sided, and separated by narrow greenstone keels, which are remnants of the heterogeneously-deformed supracrustal envelope. The smaller domes expose the flat-lying roofs of the granitic core (Mulgine dome) or are hidden under a domal-shaped greenstone cover only (Widdin and Rothsay domes, [Figs. 2 and 3\)](#page-3-0). We identify two distinct generations of fabrics: (i) domal fabric, homogeneously developed across the whole Yalgoo area; and (ii) the younger orogenic fabric, locally overprinting the domal fabric, along north-striking shear zones. The latter is examined in [Section 4.](#page-8-0)

The domal fabric is defined by lithology distributions and a single foliation invariably parallel to lithological contacts. For the larger domes, this fabric developed at different metamorphic conditions in different domains, reflecting flow at suprasolidus conditions in the granite–migmatite cores, progressively grading to lower-temperature

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.** Geological map of the Yalgoo area, highlighting the dome-and-keel pattern defined by the granite-greenstone boundaries. The area includes four large, deeply-eroded domes (Gullewa, Mellenbye, Mongers and Yalgoo domes) and three small domes (Mulgine, Rothsay and Widdin domes).

fabrics towards the structurally-higher greenstone cover, where greenschist-facies conditions prevail. Despite these differences, we use the same labels  $(S_D \text{ and } L_D)$  for the domal SL fabric across different domains and lithologies, to highlight the composite, but geometrically and kinematically coherent nature of such fabric.

Although data presented here cover the whole Yalgoo area, our attention is mainly focused on the Yalgoo dome, because it is considerably better exposed than the adjacent domes, and shows a continuous, mappable greenstone envelope. Therefore, we first define the chief characteristics of the domal fabric in the Yalgoo dome and its greenstone cover [\(Section 3.1\)](#page-3-1), and then those representative of the broader Yalgoo area [\(Sections 3.2 and 3.3\)](#page-6-0).

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>*3.1. Yalgoo dome*

This section outlines the chief structural features of the granite– migmatite core of the Yalgoo dome and its greenstone cover, which also represent the envelope of the adjacent domes ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)). The Yalgoo dome shows an elliptical outline (90 by 50 km in map view), and includes an inner granite–migmatite domain and an outer orthogneiss domain.

#### *3.1.1. Granite–migmatite dome core*

The structural evolution of the Kynea Migmatite, forming the inner part of the dome, is detailed in [Clos et al. \(2019a\),](#page-23-7) and therefore only the chief and complementary structural features of the domal fabric are

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1**

Summary of relevant geochronological constraints (U–Pb in zircon) for the Yalgoo dome area.



[Fig. 2](#page-3-0) for sample location.

Samples in italic were also analysed for zircon Lu-Hf isotopes.

Sample locations are referenced using Map Grid Australia (MGA) coordinates, Zone 50.

- <span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>a</sup> Maximum depositional age.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>**b** Age from melanosome.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span><sup>c</sup> Age from leucosome.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span><sup>d</sup> Layered migmatitic raft within the Goonetarra Granodiorite.

summarized here (and in Supplementary file S3). The Kynea Migmatite is a stromatic metatexite ([Sawyer, 2008\)](#page-24-25) interlayered with older greenstone rafts (Fig. S3.1a).  $S_D$  ( $S_1$  in [Clos et al., 2019a](#page-23-7)) is a variablyoriented, steeply-dipping gneissic foliation ((i) in Fig. S3.1b), axial planar to mesoscale sheath and isoclinal folds ([Clos et al., 2019a,](#page-23-7)

[2019b;](#page-23-7) Fig. 5.2 in [Fenwick, 2014\)](#page-24-23). It is invariably parallel to lithological boundaries, bearing a subvertical stretching and mineral lineation  $(L<sub>D</sub>)$ , coaxial with fold axes ((ii) in Fig. S3.1b). On subvertical exposures, mantled porphyroclasts, S–C and C′ subfabrics indicate core-up shear sense (Fig. S3.1c). Boudinage of the more competent greenstone

<span id="page-4-5"></span>

**Fig. 3.** Composite isometric cross section array illustrating the three-dimensional structure of the Yalgoo dome area. Legend as in [Fig. 2.](#page-3-0) The location of each crosssection is indicated in [Fig. 2](#page-3-0).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Fig. 4.** Typical mesoscale magmatic and solid-state end-member fabrics in the Goonetarra Granodiorite. All field photographs are from subhorizontal exposures, unless otherwise indicated. (a) Magmatic layering in porphyritic granite, due to variable content of K-feldspar phenocrysts, defining open, synmagmatic upright folds, here truncated by aplite veins (arrowhead). (b) Detail from the same outcrop in (a), showing the magmatic foliation S<sub>D,</sub> defined by aligned euhedral K-feldspar phenocrysts and truncated by a microgranite dyke. (c) Map showing the first vertical derivative (1VD) of Reduced-to-Pole Total Magnetic Intensity, centered on the granite–migmatite core of the Yalgoo dome. Numbers (i)–(vii) identify subareas discussed in the text. (d) Gneissic  $S<sub>D</sub>$  in porphyritic granite exposed along the Badja décollement. Horizontal view at high-angle to the steeply plunging L<sub>D</sub>, showing elongate quartz ribbons and biotite trails, wrapping around rounded mantled Kfeldspar porphyroclasts with elongate tails and local feldspar boudinage (arrowhead). (e) Subvertical exposure of porphyritic gneiss from the same outcrop in (d). Sigma-type mantled K-feldspar porphyroclasts indicate dome-up, normal kinematics.

rafts was accompanied by in-situ partial melting of amphibolite (Fig. S3.1d), indicating that the domal fabric developed under migmatitic conditions.

The granitic domain typically retains primary magmatic fabrics ([Fig. 4a](#page-5-0) and b), with  $S_D$  subparallel to the magmatic layering [\(Fig. 4a](#page-5-0)) and aligned migmatitic rafts, showing variable orientation and roughly concentric map-scale patterns [\(Fig. 2;](#page-3-0) plot (i) in Fig. S3.1b). The series of map-view concentric circular patterns defined by lithological boundaries in the Kynea Migmatite ((i) in [Fig. 4](#page-5-0)c) represent horizontal sections through multiscale vertical sheath folds (see also [Clos et al.,](#page-23-7) [2019a\)](#page-23-7). Migmatites also define tight,  $~15$  km-long curved antiformal ridges aligned with greenstone keels ((ii) and (iii) in [Fig. 4](#page-5-0)c), separating domains in which magmatic/migmatitic layering defines map-view concentric trajectories, revealing the occurrence of at least four main subdomes within the Yalgoo dome ((iv)–(vii) in [Fig. 4c](#page-5-0)).

The transition to the orthogneiss domain towards the margin of the dome occurs through the progressive development of gneissic to my-lonitic fabrics [\(Figs. 4](#page-5-0)e, e and S5.2). Here,  $S<sub>D</sub>$  is outward-dipping at the scale of the dome [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-5)), defining a first-order (map-view) concentric pattern, while  $L<sub>D</sub>$  shows a dome-scale radial (outward-plunging) orientation ([Fig. 5a](#page-7-0)). Kinematic indicators, such as S–C and C′ subfabrics and mantled feldspar porphyroclasts, invariably indicate normal kinematics [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-0)e) along all granite–greenstone boundaries. Within the

 $\sim$ 4 km-thick orthogneiss domain, solid-state overprint progressively increases towards the granite–greenstone contact, which represents the zone of highest finite strain. In the case of the Yalgoo dome, such highstrain zone is nearly continuously exposed, and it is defined here as the Badja décollement.

#### <span id="page-5-1"></span>*3.1.2. Contact with greenstone cover*

The strain distribution in the greenstone cover of the Yalgoo Dome mirrors that observed in the granite gneisses, with the highest strain occurring along the boundaries with all the granite–gneiss domes. However, finite strain and bulk structural features in the greenstone cover vary in detail, as a consequence of rheological heterogeneities due to lithological complexity. Competent lithologies such as amphibolite display a single, prominent fabric, where  $S_D$  and  $L_D$  are parallel to those in the underling granite gneiss [\(Fig. 5b](#page-7-0)). Independently from  $S_D$ orientation,  $L_D$  shows pitch angles  $> 45^{\circ}$  in ~85% of the structural sites along the margins of the best-exposed domes (with 35% of sites showing pitch > 80°, [Fig. 5c](#page-7-0)). This, together with their radial distribution around the Yalgoo Dome ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)a and b), indicates bulk vertical stretching associated with doming. Conventional kinematic indicators [\(Fig. 6a](#page-11-0)) consistently indicate dome-up kinematics.

In contrast, three generations of superposed folds  $(F_{D1}-F_{D3})$  with associated subfabric labelled as  $S_{D1}$ ,  $L_{D1}$ , etc.) are visible in weaker,



**Fig. 4.** (*continued*)

layered lithologies such as BIF, chert and schists. Notably, these subfabrics are widespread throughout the Yalgoo area, and coexist with the single SL fabric in the more competent amphibolite and orthogneiss.  $F_{D1}$  folds include isoclinal and sheath folds with steeply plunging axes ( $A_{D1}$ ; [Fig. 6b](#page-11-0)) coaxial with  $L_D$ , and are associated with a prominent transposition foliation (S<sub>D1, [Fig. 6](#page-11-0)c</sub>). Field evidence indicates that  $F_{D1}$ folds predate the development of the angular unconformity (VII in Fig. S1.2).  $F_{D1}$  are refolded by tight to isoclinal folds ( $F_{D2}$ ), which are essentially coaxial and coplanar with  $F_{D1}$ , and are associated with a spaced crenulation cleavage [\(Fig. 6d](#page-11-0), e).  $F_{D3}$  are coplanar with  $F_{D1}$  and  $F_{D2}$  folds but they have sub-horizontal fold axes (A<sub>D3</sub>, [Fig. 6](#page-11-0)f, g).

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>*3.2. Other granite–gneiss domes*

*3.2.1. Deeply-eroded, large domes: Gullewa, Mellenbye and Mongers domes* The Gullewa, Mellenbye and Mongers domes are exposed along the western and southern flanks of the Yalgoo dome, between the Gullewa and the Koolanooka greenstone belts ([Figs. 2 and 7a](#page-3-0)). Since the outline of these domes is only partially preserved, their exact size and shape are not fully constrainable. However, field data indicate that these domes are steep-sided, showing comparable size to the Yalgoo dome [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)). Chief characteristics of the domal fabric are identical to those described for the Yalgoo dome, and include a concentric pattern of domal  $S<sub>D</sub>$ , in one case wrapping around a migmatite core (Gullewa dome), and concordant to dome margins [\(Figs. 2 and 5a](#page-3-0)). As for the Yalgoo dome,  $S_D$  in these domes exhibits a transition from magmatic to solid-state flow towards dome margins, commonly bearing a down-dip L<sub>D</sub> ([Fig. 5a](#page-7-0)–c).

#### *3.2.2. Small-scale domes: Mulgine, Rothsay and Widdin domes*

A cluster of three small domes (long axes: 5–10 km) occurs almost entirely buried below the Warriedar greenstone belt, pinched between the southern margin of the Yalgoo dome and the Mongers dome  $((ix)–(xi),$  [Figs. 2, 3 and 7b](#page-3-0)). The Mulgine dome is the only one with the granitic core exposed ([Fig. 8\)](#page-13-0), showing a flat-lying dome roof that coincides with a high-strain décollement, associated with core-up kinematics.  $S_D$  in the granitic core is horizontal to gently outward-dipping, carrying radial, outward-plunging  $L_D$  ([Fig. 8](#page-13-0)).  $L_D$  has smaller pitch angles than the other domes ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)c), but this may represent an artefact generated by the difficulty in measuring precise orientations of the subhorizontal foliations  $S_D$  [\(Fig. 8](#page-13-0)). The granitic cores of the Widdin and Rothsay domes are revealed by the domal shape of their mantling greenstone cover and their inferred granitic cores are not exposed (cross section i–j in [Fig. 3](#page-4-5)). Here,  $S_D$  and lithological boundaries show concentric, outward-dipping domal patterns, ([Figs. 7 and 8\)](#page-12-0), bearing a down-dip  $L<sub>D</sub>$  that shows radial arrangements at the scale of each dome ([Fig. 8](#page-13-0)). Notably, these three domes show a misorientation of their long axes, which are northeast-trending for the Mulgine and Widdin domes, and northwest trending for the Rothsay dome, and therefore also misoriented with the north trending long axis of the Yalgoo dome [\(Figs. 2](#page-3-0) [and 7b](#page-3-0)).

#### *3.3. Greenstone keels separating domes*

In this section, we focus on three greenstone sequences cropping out as broad synforms between domes, defining cuspate triangular regions where each margin is parallel and conformable with the curved dome margins. In these greenstones, structural features and superposed fabrics are comparable to those described for the greenstones in the proximity of the Yalgoo dome ([Section 3.1.2\)](#page-5-1). Along the western flank of the Yalgoo Dome, the Gullewa and the Koolanooka greenstone belts are synformal keels pinched at triple points between the four large adjacent domes ([Figs. 2 and 7a](#page-3-0); see also [Bouhallier et al., 1995,](#page-23-0) for a similar case). The Koolanooka greenstone belt ([Fig. 7a](#page-12-0)) is preserved as tight, northwest-plunging and subvertical synform [\(Fig. 5](#page-7-0)b), showing steeply northwest-plunging  $L_D$  parallel to the axis of mesoscale folds. Notably, both  $L_D$  and fold axes in the Koolanooka greenstone belt are subparallel to  $L_D$  in the Mongers dome. A similar concordance is observed between the mean orientations of  $S_D$  and  $L_D$  in the Gullewa greenstone belt and the surrounding Gullewa and Mellenbye domes ([Fig. 5b](#page-7-0), compare stereonets on lower left).

The Warriedar greenstone belt ([Figs. 2 and 7](#page-3-0)b) exposes map-scale greenstone structures that result from the interference between the three adjacent small dome roofs described above. In the center of the belt, the Jasper Hill syncline is pinched between these three small domes and the Yalgoo dome ((xii), [Fig. 7](#page-12-0)b). Here, the arcuate axial traces of the second-order folds wrap the Yalgoo dome margin in the northwest, and the Mulgine dome margin in the southeast, producing a peculiar fan-shaped geometry for the overall Jasper Hill syncline. Closer to the Badja décollement, BIF layers define tight, steep isoclinal folds with curved axial traces wrapping the Badja décollement ((xiii), [Fig. 7b](#page-12-0)). Notably, these map-scale  $F_{D1}$  folds are truncated by the infragreenstone unconformity at the base of the Mougooderra Formation ((xiv), [Fig. 7](#page-12-0)b), consistently with outcrop-scale observations ((vii) in Fig. S1.2a).

## *3.4. Shape of the finite strain ellipsoid*

This section provides qualitative estimation of the shape of the finite-strain ellipsoid (FSE) throughout the Yalgoo area. Both the core of

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 5. (a) Simplified map of the granitic domes of the Yalgoo area, with equal-angle projection plots (lower hemisphere) for each exposed domain. In each dome, S<sub>D</sub> is broadly concentric and conformable to dome margins, showing a transitional character from magmatic to gneissic (subsolidus). (b) Same as (a) but focused on the greenstone belts. Equal-angle projection plots (lower hemisphere) for each structural domain identified within the greenstone cover. For plots in (a) and (b), number of measurements and symbols for mean values are reported in brackets. Symbols as for (a), except as indicated. (c) Pitch of  $L<sub>D</sub>$  vs. azimuth of  $S<sub>D</sub>$  for the greenstone sequence, for the Yalgoo and Mulgine domes, for the northeastern portion of the Mellenbye dome, and the southeastern portion of the Gullewa dome. Note that in general lineation is down-dip and radial. For the greenstone sequence, Yalgoo and Mulgine Domes, where data are available for the entire dome,  $L<sub>D</sub>$  covers the entire 360° spectrum, generally plunging steeply down-dip.

the Yalgoo dome and  $\sim$ 80% of the exposed high-strain zones along the granite–greenstone boundaries in all domes consistently show a subhorizontal extension component perpendicular to  $L_D$  [\(Figs. 4d](#page-5-0) and S3.1d), indicating bulk flattening associated with vertical stretching. The Yalgoo dome exhibits several small greenstone keels protruding towards the granite–migmatite dome core [\(Figs. 2 and 7](#page-3-0)); six of them are partially exposed [\(Fig. 9a](#page-15-0)), and only the Gnows Nest keel offers continuous exposure [\(Fig. 9b](#page-15-0)). Here,  $S_D$  dips towards the centre of the keel, carrying a steeply-plunging  $L_D$  ([Fig. 9b](#page-15-0) and c). Steep  $F_{D1}$  isoclinal to sheath folds in greenstones show curved axial traces, wrapping the trace of the Badja décollement. Notably, S and Z symmetry of  $F_{D1}$  folds shows a systematic spatial distribution [\(Fig. 9b](#page-15-0) and c), reflecting flow of supracrustal material towards the keel [\(Brun et al., 1981\)](#page-23-2).  $S_D$  is overprinted by a subvertical, local, spaced crenulation cleavage  $(S<sub>D4</sub>)$  that is axial planar to the greenstone keel and to subvertical folds [\(Figs. 9b](#page-15-0), c and [10](#page-16-0)a). Orthogneisses flanking greenstone keels display  $L > S$  to  $L$ tectonite ([Fig. 10b](#page-16-0)–d), grading to SL tectonite at distances  $>$  ~1 km from keel regions. In contrast, in greenstones L tectonites are restricted to the hinge area of plurimetric  $F_{D1}$  folds ([Fig. 10e](#page-16-0)), grading to SL tectonites towards fold limbs. Here, the transition between end-member tectonite types occurs quite abruptly at the meter scale, similarly to what is described in [Sullivan \(2013\).](#page-25-18) Notably, both constrictional fabric and  $S_{D4}$  are exclusively spatially associated with greenstone keels ([Figs. 9 and 10\)](#page-15-0). Along the western flank of the Yalgoo dome,  $L > S$  to L tectonites occur in chert conglomerate pinched between the Yalgoo and the Mellenbye domes (Brandy Hill, [Figs. 7](#page-12-0)b and [9a](#page-15-0)), reflecting a local constrictional regime within a high-strain triple point between the two adjacent domes [\(Bouhallier et al., 1995](#page-23-0); [Collins et al., 1998\)](#page-23-12).

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**4. Orogenic fabric**

The domal fabric described above is overprinted by a weakly- to moderately-pervasive, north-striking and steeply east dipping orogenic fabric that is heterogeneously developed throughout the study area ([Fig. 11a](#page-17-0)). It traverses the region without any systematic geometrical relationships with the dome-and-keel regional architecture. It is subparallel to the large-scale, north-striking structural grain that typifies the Youanmi Terrane ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0)), and subparallel to the Mulloo shear zone ([Figs. 2, 11](#page-3-0)a), a large-scale structure that was active during the emplacement of the c. 2700 Ma Lakeside pluton [\(Zibra, 2012](#page-25-19); [Zibra et al.,](#page-25-10) [2014\)](#page-25-10). The steeply east-dipping Mulloo shear zone has down-dip stretching lineation ([Fig. 11a](#page-17-0)), and it was active during magma emplacement and during subsequent syndeformational cooling. It is characterized by top-to-west kinematics, marked by a prominent magmatic foliation and layering, and shearing of migmatitic rafts ([Fig. 11](#page-17-0)b), and gave rise to mylonitic fabrics developed along the base of the shear zone ([Figs. 11](#page-17-0)c and S4.4a). Since the main focus of this study is on the domal fabric, only the chief features of the orogenic fabric are outlined here (and in the Supplementary file S4).

The orogenic foliation  $(S_{OR})$  in the study area is mainly visible along the Salt River shear zone ([Fig. 12a](#page-18-0)). This structure shows north-striking, steeply dipping mylonitic foliation associated with steeply plunging

stretching lineation and reverse kinematics (Fig. S4.1a–c). In this area, the Badja décollement is locally reworked as reverse, retrograde shear zone showing top-to-southeast kinematics ([Fig. 12](#page-18-0)a–c). In felsic gneisses, biotite is replaced by syntectonic chlorite [\(Fig. 12](#page-18-0)b), suggesting retrograde metamorphic conditions. In supracrustal lithologies, SOR appears as spaced crenulation cleavage and is axial planar to meterscale, disharmonic parallel and kink folds with steeply plunging axes (Fig. S4.1d–f). Two sets of north-striking structures overprint the domal fabric in the deeper crustal level exposed in the core of the Yalgoo dome (Fig. S4.2), reflecting periods of bulk east-west shortening  $(D_2 \text{ and } D_3)$ in [Clos et al., 2019a](#page-23-7)). The earlier one developed under migmatitic conditions (Fig. S4.3), while younger reverse shear zones are confined within c. 2700 Ma north-striking granite dykes ([Clos et al., 2019a](#page-23-7)). These local structures are therefore grouped here under the orogenic fabric.

#### **5. Microstructures**

In order to integrate outcrop- to map-scale observations, we outline here (and in the Supplementary file S5) chief microstructural features of the domal fabric. Felsic gneisses from the Kynea Migmatite and the surrounding, younger granites show comparable microstructures ([Fig. 13](#page-19-0)a–c). K-feldspar and plagioclase porphyroclasts are mantled by coarse-grained polygonal aggregates of the same phases (up to 500 μm in size, Fig. S5.1a), reflecting feldspar recrystallization at high temperature ([Rosenberg and Stünitz, 2003\)](#page-24-32). Quartz forms elongate, monoto polycrystalline, coarse-grained ribbons. Individual quartz grains show chessboard subgrain boundary pattern (Fig. S5.1b, c), indicating deformation in the high-quartz field, at near-solidus temperatures ([Kruhl, 1996](#page-24-33)). Quartz grain boundaries are deeply sutured, suggesting widespread recrystallization through grain boundary migration [\(Stipp](#page-25-20) [et al., 2002](#page-25-20)). K-feldspar occurs as narrow films coating quartz and plagioclase grain boundaries [\(Fig. 13](#page-19-0)a), or filling microfractures ([Fig. 13](#page-19-0)b and c), reflecting syntectonic migration of former residual melt towards low-pressure sites ([Sawyer, 1999](#page-24-34)). Plagioclase–quartz grain boundaries are commonly cuspate and lobate ([Fig. 13a](#page-19-0) and c), indicating deformation at upper amphibolite facies conditions ([Gower](#page-24-35) [and Simpson, 1992](#page-24-35)). Pegmatite gneiss contains the garnet-sillimanite assemblage (Fig. S5.1d), indicating upper-amphibolite facies conditions.  $S_D$  in amphibolite rafts is a continuous foliation defined by hornblende–plagioclase  $\pm$  clinopyroxene  $\pm$  quartz, while tonalitic leucosomes include peritectic clinopyroxene (Fig. S5.1e). As a whole, these microstructures from the inner portions of the Yalgoo dome reflect deformation at near-solidus temperatures, in agreement with field observations and metamorphic data ([Clos et al., 2019a, 2019b](#page-23-7)).

 $S_D$  in felsic gneisses exposed along the Badja décollement and the other granite–greenstone boundaries includes elongate and coarsegrained quartz ribbons, together with trails of recrystallized feldspar, biotite and epidote, wrapping around plagioclase and K-feldspar porphyroclasts [\(Fig. 13](#page-19-0)d). Both plagioclase and K-feldspar show evidence of widespread recrystallization. Aggregates of recrystallized, polygonal grains are 100–200 μm in size (yellow arrowhead, [Fig. 13d](#page-19-0)) and, near



# **Greenstones**





the margins of porphyroclasts, they grade into subgrains of comparable size, suggesting that feldspar recrystallization resulted from dominant subgrain rotation [\(Rosenberg and Stünitz, 2003](#page-24-32)). Strain-induced myrmekites in K-feldspar porphyroclasts (white arrowhead, [Fig. 13](#page-19-0)d) indicate shearing at amphibolite-facies conditions ([Simpson and Wintsch,](#page-24-36) [1989\)](#page-24-36). Microfracturing in feldspar porphyroclasts is uncommon, suggesting that the shearing temperature along the main décollements did not fall significantly below 500 °C ([Passchier and Trouw, 2005](#page-24-37)). This is also supported by the coarsely-lobate quartz-quartz grain boundaries ([Fig. 13](#page-19-0)d), which suggest quartz recrystallization via grain-boundary migration at temperature  $\geq$  ~500 °C [\(Stipp et al., 2002\)](#page-25-20). In the hanging wall of the main décollements, granoblastic mafic gneisses contains the hornblende–plagioclase ± epidote assemblage, while late-tectonic garnet porphyroblasts locally occur in amphibolite within greenstone keels [\(Fig. 13e](#page-19-0)). Microscale folds in BIF typically show a continuous axial-planar foliation marked by aligned quartz, magnetite and amphibole ( $S_{D2}$ ; arrowhead in [Fig. 13](#page-19-0)f), suggesting amphibolite facies conditions [\(Klein, 2005\)](#page-24-16).

#### *5.1. Domal fabric: quartz c-axis crystallographic preferred orientation*

In order to constrain the deformation temperature, type of strain and bulk flow, and prevailing shear sense throughout the study area, we determined the quartz crystallographic preferred orientation (CPO) in 28 samples from the Yalgoo dome, and one sample for the Mulgine and Gullewa domes [\(Fig. 14](#page-20-0); Fig. S6.1 for sample locations). Samples were collected from outcrops showing the domal fabric only, except sample 155827, which is representative of  $S_{OR}$  developed within a c. 2700 Ma north-striking granite dyke (core of Yalgoo dome). Thin sections were prepared normal to  $S_D$  and parallel to  $L_D$ . For the Yalgoo dome, results are presented as an ideal cross section from the migmatitic core towards the greenstone cover [\(Fig. 14](#page-20-0)). All samples were scanned with an automated fabric analyser microscope [\(Peternell et al., 2010;](#page-24-38) [Wilson](#page-25-21) [et al., 2007\)](#page-25-21), and the quartz c-axis CPO was determined using the FAME (Fabric Analyser Microstructure Evaluation) software ([Hammes and](#page-24-39) [Peternell, 2016\)](#page-24-39).

Although the CPO is generally moderately-developed, clear maxima can be identified in most samples. Four samples from the Kynea Migmatite (155879, 155880, 155884 and 209689) show maxima at low-angle from  $L_D$ , which is indicative of dominant prism  $\langle c \rangle$ -slip and reflect shearing at near-solidus temperatures [\(Mainprice et al., 1986](#page-24-40); [Stipp et al., 2002\)](#page-25-20). Secondary maxima at low-angle from the Z axis (in 155880 and 155884) indicate the subordinate activity of basal ⟨a⟩ slip. Likewise, the near-X maximum shown by sample 155827 indicates that reverse shearing along c 2700 Ma granite dykes ([Clos et al., 2019a\)](#page-23-7) occurred at near-solidus temperatures, likely during dyke emplacement.

The four samples from the granitic domain show fabrics comparable to those of the Kynea Migmatite, reflecting dominant prism ⟨c⟩-slip (possibly with a contribution of oriented grain growth in the prism ⟨c⟩ direction; [Zibra et al., 2012](#page-25-22)), even though maxima here are generally weaker. 75–105° opening angles (across the Z-axis), available from four samples, point to deformation temperatures of  $~1$ 600–800 °C (estimation based on Fig. 2 in [Law, 2014](#page-24-41)). A similar, although more diffuse pattern associated with a near-X maximum is shown by samples 155876 and 210292 from the Mulgine and Gullewa domes.

The 16 samples from the orthogneiss domain can be grouped into three fabric types. Four samples collected in the inner, low- to moderate-strain portions of the Badja décollement shows moderately to well-developed near-X maxima. This CPO fabric is consistent with the observed microstructure, indicating that, in this portion of the Badja décollement, the gneissic  $S_D$  developed at near-solidus temperatures, and mostly under melt-present conditions ([Fig. 13b](#page-19-0) and c).

Two of the 12 samples collected within the  $\sim$ 2 km-thick high-strain portion of the Badja décollement exhibit a well-defined near-Y maximum, which typically indicates dominant prism  $\langle a \rangle$  slip at amphibolite-facies conditions [\(Passchier and Trouw, 2005\)](#page-24-37). The remaining 10 samples mostly show well-defined to diffuse near-Z maxima, and subordinate maxima in near-Y and intermediate positions (e.g. samples 155806, 155839, 212730 and 210276, [Fig. 14\)](#page-20-0), developing a crossed girdle fabric ([Lister, 1977\)](#page-24-42). This indicates basal  $\langle a \rangle$  slip, in cooperation with prism and rhomb ⟨a⟩ slip, produced the fabric observed along the Yalgoo dome margins. An incipient to well-developed crossed girdle fabric also typifies the three greenstone samples collected in the hanging wall of the Badja décollement ([Fig. 14\)](#page-20-0). Overall, 21 of 29 samples from the domal fabric exhibit an external fabric symmetry indicative of dominant non-coaxial flow associated with (i) upward

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Fig. 6.** Chief structures in the greenstone cover, Yalgoo area. (a) Sheared pebbly sandstone exposed a few meters above the Badja décollement, eastern flank of the Yalgoo dome. Sigmoidal chert clasts indicate dome-up kinematics. (b) Small-scale  $F_{D1}$  isoclinal and sheath (arrowhead) folds in BIF. (c) Fold hinge preserving bedding, which is otherwise transposed into  $S_{D1}$ , in highly-deformed BIF, sampled a few hundred meters above the Badja décollement (sample not in place). (d)  $F_{D2}$ folds in BIF, superposed on nearly coplanar and coaxial  $F_{D1}$ . Type 3 fold interference pattern ([Ramsay, 1967\)](#page-24-7). (e)  $F_{D1}$  sheath fold refolded by  $F_{D2}$  fold in BIF, with axial planar spaced cleavage (S<sub>D2</sub>). (f) Steeply east-dipping exposure showing F<sub>D3</sub> fold with subhorizontal axes in chert, refolding F<sub>D1</sub> isoclinal folds. The inset at lower left illustrates the geometry of the superposed folds. (g) Subvertical exposure showing upright  $F_{D3}$  folds in chert, refolding isoclinal folds ( $F_{D1}$ , highlighted by yellow dashed lines). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

**Fig. 7.** Images showing the first vertical derivative (1VD) of Reduced-to-Pole Total Magnetic Intensity. Numbers (viii)–(xiv) identify subareas discussed in the text. (a) Image centered on the Gullewa and Koolanooka synformal keels, at the triple points between four adjacent domes. (b) Image centered on the Warriedar greenstone belt, covering the area between the southeast margin of the Yalgoo dome and the Mulgine (viii), Rothsay (ix) and Widdin (x) domes.

Mulgine<br>Dome

<span id="page-13-0"></span>



displacement of the migmatitic core with respect to the surrounding granites (Fig. S3.1c), and (ii) dome-up kinematics, with respect to the greenstone cover.

#### **6. Zircon Lu–Hf isotopes**

Detrital zircons grains previously dated from a mudstone of the Mougooderra Formation (sample 211101; [Table 1](#page-4-0)) comprises mostly c. 2960–2750 Ma ages, in the range of those of the granitic rocks in the Yalgoo Dome [\(Lu et al., 2016\)](#page-24-26). The combined use of U–Pb and Lu–Hf isotopic systems to detrital zircon grains provides information about the source of sedimentary material [\(Zeh et al., 2008](#page-25-23), and references therein), and so the mudstone sample 211101 is appropriate to get a broader view of the Hf values of the granitic samples eroded to form these sediments. For comparison, we selected five dated samples for zircon Lu–Hf isotope analyses [\(Table 1,](#page-4-0) Table S2 and [Fig. 15](#page-21-0)) in order to trace sediment source, and to test whether the Mougooderra Formation was fed by granitic and migmatitic rocks of the Yalgoo dome. Analytical details are reported in Supplementary file S2. Samples 155879 and 209689 are representative of the Kynea Migmatite; samples 155822, 155858 and 214101 are representative of the Goonetarra Granodiorite.

Zircon Lu–Hf data for the c. 2960 Ma Kynea Migmatite indicates two stage depleted mantle model ages  $(T<sub>DM2</sub>)$  of 3.51–3.20 Ga (median = 3.37 Ga), possibly with minor juvenile addition at c. 2.96 Ga ([Fig. 15](#page-21-0) and Table S2). This suggests Kynea Migmatite was mainly derived from reworking of Paleoarchean crust. Similarly, the c. 2763–2749 Ma Goonetarra Granodiorite yields  $T<sub>DM2</sub>$  of 3.58–3.28 Ga (median = 3.45 Ga), indicating derivation from reworking of existing Paleoarchean crust or from the Kynea Migmatite.

The ages of detrital zircons in Mougooderra Formation sample 211101 suggest a maximum depositional age of c. 2758 Ma ([Lu et al.,](#page-24-26) [2016\)](#page-24-26). The detrital zircons have similar ages (2953–2746 Ma) and  $T_{DM2}$ (3.56–2.96 Ga) to those of the Kynea Migmatite and Goonetarra Granodiorite with a further suggestion of a minor older component with  $T<sub>DM2</sub>$  up to 3.88 Ga ([Fig. 15\)](#page-21-0).

#### **7. Discussion**

The Yalgoo area has been the focus of regional mapping and recent, small-scale structural and metamorphic studies, which provided substantial data indicative of diapirism of its migmatitic core ([Clos et al.,](#page-23-7) [2019a, 2019b](#page-23-7)). However, the broader three-dimensional architecture of the dome and keels described here have remained so far poorly known, and the understanding of such architecture represent the main goal of this contribution. This early architecture is significant because it predates the large-scale Neoarchean Yilgarn Orogeny, which dominates the evolution of terranes elsewhere in the craton. It is worth emphasizing here that, although the majority of our data derive from the well-exposed Yalgoo dome, and from the supracrustal greenstone envelope shared by all seven domes identified in the area, the sparsely distributed data obtained from the poorly-exposed domes are entirely consistent with the picture provided by the Yalgoo dome.

The sequence of events examined here started at c. 2960 Ma ([Fig. 16](#page-22-0)a), with the emplacement of the protolith of the Kynea Migmatite into older greenstones, now preserved in the core of the Yalgoo dome as BIF/amphibolite rafts (Fig. S3.1a). The clear TTG affinity of the Kynea Migmatite ([Nebel et al., 2018\)](#page-24-24), together with our new zircon Lu–Hf isotope data [\(Fig. 15](#page-21-0)), indicate that this intrusion derived from

melting of a 3.51–3.20 Ga lower crustal garnet-amphibolite source ([Johnson et al., 2017](#page-24-43); [Smithies, 2000](#page-24-44)), which was part of the Paleoarchean basement of the 2960–2750 Ma greenstone succession ([Ivanic et al., 2012\)](#page-24-15). Greenstone development continued intermittently for the subsequent 200 Myr ([Fig. 16b](#page-22-0)), producing a regional-scale, ≥8 km-thick, mafic–ultramafic supracrustal sequence ([Van](#page-25-7) [Kranendonk et al., 2013\)](#page-25-7). However, the bulk of the structures in the Yalgoo area is Neoarchean in age, being developed in granite plutons that are c. 2760 Ma or younger.

#### *7.1. The Yalgoo area: a case for diapirism*

One of the chief features of the Yalgoo area is the regional occurrence of a single, prominent planar fabric (the domal foliation  $S_D$ ) that is systematically parallel to the contacts between granite domes and greenstone belts, typically showing an increase in finite strain towards such first-order boundaries.  $S_D$  trajectory defines map-scale dome-andbasin structures, in which domal antiforms expose granite/migmatite rocks, and basinal synforms preserve portions of the supracrustal envelope [\(Figs. 2, 3 and 7](#page-3-0)), in a region that shows neither field nor geophysical evidence of the large-scale, east–west compression-related shear zones that typify the rest of the craton [\(Figs. 1 and 2\)](#page-2-0). Following these observations, chief structural features of the Yalgoo area are here tested in the light of conceptual and field-based models for diapirism ([Fig. 16](#page-22-0)c).

### *7.1.1. Type of flow in the granite–migmatite domes*

One of the key observations is that the bulk of the structures in the granite–migmatite domes reflect hypersolidus flow. This is demonstrated by the widespread preservation of meso- and microstructural evidence of melt-present deformation, together with quartz CPO fabrics indicative of near-solidus temperatures, in both metatexites and surrounding granites, ([Figs. 4a](#page-5-0) and b, [13](#page-19-0)a–c, [14](#page-20-0) and S3.1b). The synanatexis isothermal decompression recorded by the Kynea Migmatite ([Clos et al., 2019b\)](#page-23-9) is entirely consistent with igneous diapirism ([Teyssier and Whitney, 2002\)](#page-25-24). Furthermore, the occurrence of subdomes flanked by steep migmatite ridges [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-0)c) is probably an expression of the internal dynamics of the rising magma bodies ([Kruckenberg et al., 2018](#page-24-45); [Vanderhaeghe, 2004](#page-25-25); [Weinberg and](#page-25-5) [Schmeling, 1992](#page-25-5)), which likely developed as a sequence of smaller diapirs during multiple magmatic pulses, in agreement with the  $\sim$ 20 Myr duration of granitic magmatism within the area ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0) and [Table 1](#page-4-0)).

Pervasive subsolidus fabrics occurs only along the outer carapace of the domes ([Figs. 4d](#page-5-0), e, and [13](#page-19-0)d). Notably here quartz CPO data across the Yalgoo dome margins highlight a fabric transition from near-solidus temperature fabrics in the inner portions of the orthogneiss domain, to retrograde fabrics dominated by ⟨a⟩ slip near the granite–greenstone boundaries ([Fig. 14](#page-20-0)). This indicates that the onset of shearing along the dome margins occurred during granite emplacement, while retrograde fabrics reflect syndeformational cooling of the outermost portions of the domes against the cold supracrustal country rocks, driven by the strong rheological and temperature gradients established along dome margins ([Cruden, 1990\)](#page-24-46).

#### *7.1.2. Geometry and kinematics*

Another fundamental observation is that all linear structures (fold axes and  $L<sub>D</sub>$ ) associated with the domal  $S<sub>D</sub>$  show dome-scale radial

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Fig. 9. (a) Simplified geological map of the northern portion of the Yalgoo dome, showing distribution of L > S to L tectonites in both the orthogneiss and overlying greenstones. The rest of the Badja décollement is characterized by  $S > L$  tectonites ([Fig. 4d](#page-5-0) and e). (b) Geological map of the Gnows Nest keel, showing the main structural elements. (c) Three-dimensional sketch summarizing the first-order geometry, kinematics and structures associated with the Gnows Nest keel, showing the spatial distribution of the various types of tectonites and asymmetric folds with respect to the greenstone keel.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

Fig. 10. Chief outcrop-scale features of the Gnows Nest keel. (a) F<sub>D1</sub> isoclinal folds refolded by F<sub>D4</sub> folds, showing spaced S<sub>D4</sub> cleavage and prominent subvertical intersection lineation. (b)–(d) L > S to L tectonites in orthogneiss. (b) Oriented hand sample from porphyritic gneiss, with the prominent L<sub>D</sub> visible on the foliationparallel surface. (c) Same hand sample as in (b), here cut parallel to L<sub>D</sub>. Sigma-type mantled K-feldspar porphyroclasts and C' shear bands define the top-to-northeast (dome-up) kinematics. (d) Rounded boulder offering a three-dimensional view of L tectonite in tonalite gneiss. The flat, top surface shows a weakly developed  $S_D$ . In contrast, the steep sides expose the prominent  $L_D$  highlighted plagioclase, quartz and biotite recrystallized aggregates. (e) L tectonite with steeply-plunging  $L_D$  in amphibolite, seen from above, at the hinge area of a plurimetric  $F_{D1}$  fold.

arrangements in all the domes in the region [\(Figs. 3, 5](#page-4-5)a, b and [8](#page-13-0)), reflecting dome-up sense of shear ([Figs. 3, 4e](#page-4-5), [6](#page-11-0)a, [10c](#page-16-0), [13d](#page-19-0), [14](#page-20-0) and S3.1c,). Moreover, the occurrence of vertical sheath folds in both the Yalgoo dome core ([Clos et al., 2019a\)](#page-23-7) and in the greenstone envelope throughout the study area ([Fig. 6b](#page-11-0) and e) indicates regional-scale, bulk vertical stretching. Sheath folds in the cover sequence may represent cascading folds [\(Whitney et al., 2004](#page-25-1)) whose axis were progressively stretched and rotated into parallelism with the steep flow vector, while the younger subset of folds ( $F<sub>D3</sub>$ , [Fig. 16c](#page-22-0)), which evidently recorded smaller amounts of finite strain, developed during the waning stages of uplift, preserving their subhorizontal axes. The dominance of high pitch values of  $L<sub>D</sub>$  along dome flanks (independently from the orientation of  $S_D$ , [Fig. 5c](#page-7-0)) is also significant, nearly perfectly reproducing the motion of rising diapirs [\(Cruden, 1990\)](#page-24-46).

#### *7.1.3. Changing sources: the sediment record*

Age and Hf isotopic composition of most detrital zircons retrieved from the top of the Mougooderra Formation match those of magmatic zircons retrieved in the Kynea Migmatite and the Goonetarra Granodiorite ([Fig. 15](#page-21-0)). In contrast, the base of this unconformable formation mainly contains 2841–2792 Ma detrital zircons ([Table 1](#page-4-0); [Lu](#page-24-22) [et al., 2018a\)](#page-24-22) likely derived from the underlying greenstones. Such vertical distribution of detrital zircons in the Mougooderra Formation suggest therefore that doming during diapiric emplacement of the c. 2766–2749 Ma granite bodies first caused tilting and erosion of the lower greenstone succession ([Fig. 16](#page-22-0)c, see also Supplementary file S1), followed by progressive unroofing of the deeper structural levels of the granite–migmatite domes, similarly to what is described in an analogous setting of the Canadian Superior Province [\(Lin et al., 2013\)](#page-24-47).

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Fig. 11.** The north-striking orogenic fabric. (a) Simplified map of the Yalgoo area showing the domains affected by the north-striking tectonic foliation (S<sub>OR</sub>). The equal-angle plot (lower hemisphere) shows the orientation of gneissic foliation and stretching lineation measured along the Mulloo shear zone. (b) Detail from a vertical exposure showing the contact between a layered, migmatitic tonalite raft (left) and host porphyritic granite of the Lakeside pluton. The raft contains centimeter-scale leucosomes that show diffuse boundaries against host gneiss, while host granite mainly accommodated shearing through magmatic flow. (c) Vertical exposure of sheared porphyritic granite exposed along the base of the Mulloo shear zone. Sigma-type K-feldspar porphyroclasts indicate top-to-west shear sense. The corresponding microstructure is shown in Fig. S4.4a.

#### *7.1.4. Shape of the finite strain ellipsoid*

The different types of tectonites mapped here are systematically distributed throughout the region, with subvertical constriction restricted to greenstone keels ([Figs. 9 and 10](#page-15-0)), in a setting otherwise regionally dominated by flattening, along the steep fabric exposed in the deeply-eroded domes (e.g. the Yalgoo dome, [Figs. 4d](#page-5-0) and S3.1d), or in the domes currently exposing their flat-lying roofs (Mulgine dome, [Fig. 8\)](#page-13-0). Notably, the arcuate and steep axial planes of map-scale isoclinal folds in the greenstone cover wrap around the margins of each dome [\(Fig. 7](#page-12-0)), demonstrating that the plane of finite flattening coincides with the elliptical outline of the domes.

These distinctive structural characteristics associated with domeand-keel architecture in the Yalgoo area have been both predicted in theoretical models and observed in areas subjected to diapirism ([Collins, 1989;](#page-23-5) [Van Kranendonk et al., 2004](#page-25-4); [Brun et al., 1981;](#page-23-2) [Dixon,](#page-24-3) [1975;](#page-24-3) [Sullivan, 2013](#page-25-18); [Talbot and Jackson, 1987;](#page-25-26) [Weinberg and](#page-25-27) [Podladchikov, 1994\)](#page-25-27). Given the lack of a dominant foliation orientation, the lack of large-scale shear zones in the study area [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0), and the widely-preserved magmatic fabrics, the domes in the Yalgoo area can be regarded as fault-unrelated magmatic domes ([Yin, 2004](#page-25-0); [Ramberg, 1981](#page-24-48); [Weinberg, 1997\)](#page-25-28).

## *7.2. Regional-scale polydiapirism*

Our regional structural mapping, complemented by geophysical data, demonstrate that the Yalgoo area exposes a gneiss dome system (≥100 by 100 km in map view, [Figs. 1 and 2\)](#page-2-0) composed of at least seven domes separated by narrow greenstone keels, and several

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

Fig. 12. (a) Geological map of the northwestern flank of the Yalgoo dome, centered on the Edamurta greenstone belt. S<sub>D</sub> and the associated large-scale isoclinal folds are crenulated by the north-striking  $S_{OR}$ , which is subparallel to the Salt River shear zone. The west-dipping Badja décollement is locally reactivated as east-verging thrust. The two equal-angle projection plots (lower hemisphere) show the local orientation of planar and linear fabrics for both the domal and the orogenic fabrics. (b) Mylonitic pegmatite from the Edamurta Range. S–C fabric (arrowhead) and C′ shear bands (blue arrow), defined by chlorite and stretched quartzofeldspathic aggregates, indicate top-to-southeast kinematics. The corresponding microstructure is shown in Fig. S4.4d. (c) Three-dimensional cross section sketch illustrating geometry and kinematics in the Edamurta area. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.** Typical microstructure of the domal fabric in the Yalgoo area. All thin sections were prepared perpendicular to  $S<sub>D</sub>$  and parallel to  $L<sub>D</sub>$ ; micrographs were taken with crossed polars. Sample numbers are mentioned where the corresponding c-axis quartz CPO is available [\(Fig. 14\)](#page-20-0). (a) Migmatitic microstructure in Kynea Migmatite. Most plagioclase and quartz grain boundaries are embayed and are coated by thin films of K-feldspar (arrowheads), interpreted to represent former melt crystallized during shearing. Gypsum plate inserted. Sample 209689. (b) and (c) show typical microstructure of low-strain granite gneisses. (b) Thin films of Kfeldspar (arrowheads) coating plagioclase–quartz grain boundaries. Gypsum plate inserted. Fractures in quartz are due to thin section preparation. (c) Microfracture in plagioclase grain (yellow arrowhead), healed by K-feldspar and quartz. Red arrowheads point to some examples of the cuspate–lobate phase boundaries between quartz and feldspars. Gypsum plate inserted. (d) High-strain porphyritic gneiss from the Gnows Nest keel area ([Fig. 10](#page-16-0)b, c). Both feldspars show core-and-mantle microstructures, and K-feldspar shows strain-induced myrmekites (white arrowhead) developed along crystal faces parallel to  $S_D$ . Yellow arrowhead points to coarsegrained recrystallized plagioclase aggregates, near the margins of a plagioclase porphyroclast. Sample 155806. (e) Garnet amphibolite from the Buddadoo keel. The hornblende-rich internal foliation in garnet is concordant with the hornblende–plagioclase–quartz matrix foliation (S<sub>D</sub>). The portion of the porphyroblast shown at left experienced boudinage, where infill is mainly represented by the matrix amphibole. Note foliation deflection (arrowhead) towards the boudinaged domain. (f) Detail from the hinge zone of millimeter-sized fold in BIF. The folded bedding  $(S_0)$  contains elongate amphibole aggregates, suggesting that it represents a composite  $S_{0-D1}$  surface. A younger axial planar fabric (S<sub>D2</sub>) is marked by aligned amphibole aggregates. The thin section was prepared normal to the fold axis and to L<sub>D</sub>, accounting for the apparent lack of shape fabric in quartz aggregates. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

**Fig. 14.** Summary of quartz c-axis CPO fabrics from the Yalgoo dome area (equal-area projection plots, lower hemisphere, 1% of search area). Shear plane (blue) and sense of shear are indicated for samples showing fabric clear external symmetry. Red symbols display the orientation of foliation and lineation at each sample locality. Fig. S6.1 for sample locations. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

**Fig. 15.** Initial **<sup>176</sup>**Hf/**177**Hf ratios versus age (Ma) plot for zircons from the granite–migmatite Yalgoo dome (from Goonetarra Granodiorite and Kynea Migmatite, respectively) and for detrital zircons from the Mougooderra Formation. Sample numbers are reported in brackets. CHUR, Chondritic Uniform Reservoir. Dashed lines represent the growth curves of average continental crust extracted from depleted mantle at c. 3.88, 3.56, 3.20 and 2.96 Ga, respectively.

subdomes within the larger domes ([Figs. 2, 4c](#page-3-0) and [16c](#page-22-0)). Individual domes show a wide range of sizes  $(-5-50 \text{ km})$  in average diameter), with a misorientation of their long axes (at least for the four domes that have preserved their entire outline, [Figs. 2 and 7](#page-3-0)b), and exposing different structural levels. The occurrence of a single regional fabric, associated with systematic variation in the shape of the finite strain ellipsoid, and with foliation triple points near synformal keels pinched between adjacent domes, are other striking structural features of the study area.

These data indicate that the dome-and-keel architecture of the Yalgoo region resulted from multiwavelength polydiapirism ([Fig. 16c](#page-22-0); [Bouhallier et al., 1995;](#page-23-0) [Weinberg and Schmeling, 1992](#page-25-5)). The ≥20 Myrlong thermal anomaly generated by the multi-pulse diapirism may have favored the production of such large volumes of granitic magma, and the sequential emplacement of closely-spaced and nested diapirs, by transiently lowering the integrated viscosity of the crustal column ([Weinberg, 1997\)](#page-25-28). The larger domes in the Yalgoo area show average diameters > 50 km, representing some of the largest domes worldwide (compilation in [Whitney et al., 2004](#page-25-1)). A process involving incremental igneous diapirism, coupled with sagduction of the greenstone cover and denudation, ([Bouhallier et al., 1995](#page-23-0); [Lin et al., 2013;](#page-24-47) [Stephansson,](#page-24-11) [1975\)](#page-24-11) best explains the generation of very large domes whose average diameters exceed the thickness of the Neoarchean Yilgarn crust.

The total volume of granitic magma emplaced during the polydiapirism event described here cannot be accurately estimated, given the lack of three-dimensional exposure and the unknown size of three of the four large domes. An approximate volume of  $75,000 \text{ km}^3$  of granitic material was emplaced in c. 17 Myr [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)) in the Yalgoo dome (volume estimation based on a 67 km of average diameter [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)), and assuming an intrusion with a perfect half-spherical shape). These results are well tuned with the data (pluton size vs. duration of assembly) compiled by [Blanquat et al. \(2011\).](#page-23-13) For comparison, diapirism in the much smaller Naxos dome (7.5 km of average diameter) lasted c. 3 Myr ([Kruckenberg et al., 2018\)](#page-24-45).

The orogenic foliation discordantly overprinted the dome-and-keel architecture, reflecting episodes of east–west synorogenic shortening ([Figs. 11 and 12](#page-17-0)). However, we see no evidence of either a penetrative north-oriented fabric throughout the whole region, or a reversal of the normal kinematics expressed by the high-strain zones. Important regional shortening would have also induced a rotation of the radial

linear fabrics (including the long axes of all domes) towards a north-south orientation, a feature that is not observed here ([Figs. 2, 5](#page-3-0)c and [7](#page-12-0)). The bulk of the structural architecture in the Yalgoo region resulted primarily from the interaction of body forces during protracted diapirism, and recorded minor, localized orogenic overprint. The regionalscale structural data presented here are consistent with recently-proposed geodynamic models [\(Clos et al., 2019b;](#page-23-9) [Nebel et al., 2018](#page-24-24)), in which the pre-orogenic polydiapirism of the Yalgoo area essentially resulted from gravitational instabilities triggered by the long-term dynamics and magmatic activity of the convecting mantle.

Two end-member tectonic styles are recognized in Archean granite–greenstone terranes ([Hickman, 2004;](#page-24-49) [Condie and Benn,](#page-23-14) [2006](#page-23-14)). Dome-and-keel architecture occurs in crustal blocks whose tectonic activity was dominated by body forces, such as igneous diapirism assisted by greenstone sagduction ([Bouhallier et al., 1995](#page-23-0); [Collins, 1989](#page-23-5); [Gapais et al., 2014\)](#page-24-50). In contrast, Archean terranes including linear, upright fold belts are characterized by crustal-scale shear zones that are often interpreted as sutures between accreted terranes ([Calvert and Ludden, 1999;](#page-23-6) [Cruden et al., 2006;](#page-24-12) [Myers,](#page-24-13) [1995](#page-24-13); [Van der Velden et al., 2006\)](#page-25-8). These two contrasting tectonic styles are commonly inferred to reflect different tectonic settings, with craton interiors preferentially producing dome-and-keel domains, and craton margins undergoing accretion and developing elongate, ribbon-like domains with linear structures [\(Capitanio et al.,](#page-23-15) [2019](#page-23-15); [Choukroune et al., 1997;](#page-23-4) [Collins et al., 1998](#page-23-12)). A wealth of evidence also points towards a progressive, secular increase in the strength of the continental lithosphere, implying a transition from episodic-overturn/stagnant-lid regime inducing diapirism, towards modern- style plate tectonics progressively during the Neoarchean ([Condie and O'Neill, 2010](#page-23-16); [Gerya, 2014](#page-24-51); [Rey and Coltice, 2008\)](#page-24-52). In this context, the prominent switch in tectonic style documented here is unlikely to represent major changes in bulk lithosphere rheology, given the short time span (c. 20 Myr, between 2750 and 2730 Ma) during which such transition occurred. There is also no evidence that the Yilgarn Orogen developed along a Neoarchean craton margin, given its  $\sim$ 800 km width. We suggest therefore that, in a context of nearly continuous 200 Myr period of voluminous granitic magmatism, before and during the Yilgarn Orogeny, diapirism dominated in times of tectonic quiescence.

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

*(caption on next page)*

**Fig. 16.** Series of sketches illustrating the tectonic evolution of the Yalgoo dome. (a) Emplacement of the Kynea Migmatite into an older, continental greenstone sequence, now preserved in the core of the dome as amphibolite and BIF raft. Roughly coeval felsic volcanism is recorded by the Gossan Hill Formation, now exposed along the eastern flank of the Yalgoo dome. (b) Development of the ≥8 km-thick greenstone pile during a period likely dominated by protracted lithospheric extension. (c) Diapiric emplacement of the Goonetarra Granodiorite into the supracrustal sequence, with shear localization along the Badja décollement, which nucleated along the granite–greenstone boundary (central cartoon modified after [Whitney et al., 2004](#page-25-1)). This high-strain zone mainly contains S > L tectonites, except in greenstone keels, where L > S to L tectonites developed [\(Fig. 10\)](#page-16-0). Within the greenstone cover, progressive deformation produced: (i) syn-doming tilting of supracrustal rocks and development of a syntectonic siliciclastic sequence resting above an angular unconformity; (ii) a series of coplanar cascading folds associated with outward flow of supracrustal material and dominant subvertical stretching, with early isoclinal and sheath folds ( $F_{D1}$  and  $F_{D2}$ ) refolded by upright folds ( $F_{D3}$ ); (iii) shearing of the Mougooderra Formation, postdate  $F_{D1}$  folds. (d) Syn-emplacement thrusting of the Lakeside pluton along the eastern flank of the Yalgoo dome, and development of the north-striking orogenic foliation  $(S_{OR})$ , which is also well-developed along the Salt River shear zone.  $S_{OR}$  is coeval with the emplacement and shearing of the north-striking granite dykes in the core of the dome.

#### **8. Conclusions**

**References[1](#page-23-17)**

We outline here the tectono-magmatic evolution of the Yalgoo area, along the western margin of the Yilgarn Orogen. The oldest exposed rocks testify to the occurrence of Mesoarchean mafic and granitic magmatism (2900–2800 Ma), followed by voluminous pulses of granitic magmatism in the c. 2766–2747 Ma time span. Magma delivery occurred through polydiapirism, associated with shear localization along the roof of the resulting domes, and triggering uplift, erosion and outward flow of the supracrustal sequence. The interference between adjacent and roughly-coeval domes, accommodated by the sagduction of synformal greenstone keels, produced the characteristic dome-and-keel regional architecture, during a period of regional-scale tectonic quiescence that preceded the c. 2730 Ma onset of the Neoarchean Yilgarn Orogeny.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228375) [doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228375.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228375)

#### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**I. Zibra:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Y. Lu:** Data curation, Writing - review & editing. **F. Clos:** Data curation, Writing - review & editing. **R.F. Weinberg:** Data curation, Writing - review & editing. **M. Peternell:** Data curation, Writing - review & editing. **M.T.D. Wingate:** Data curation, Writing - review & editing. **M. Prause:** Data curation, Writing - review & editing. **M. Schiller:** Data curation, Writing review & editing. **R. Tilhac:** Data curation, Writing - review & editing.

## **Declaration of competing interest**

All authors included in this contribution declare that none of them has financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work.

#### **Acknowledgements**

Constructive reviews from Christian Teyssier and Martin Van Kranendonk are greatly appreciated. Likewise, supportive comments by Bill Collins and Patrice Rey, on an earlier version of the manuscript, helped improving the clarity of this contribution. Students James N. Caudery, Michael J. Fenwick and Aaron Tomkins contributed with mapping and sample preparation. The staff of the GSWA laboratory prepared the samples for geochronology and geochemistry; their accurate work is greatly appreciated. John Brett prepared the geophysical map shown in [Figs. 4 and 7.](#page-5-0) The Hf analytical data were obtained using instrumentation funded by DEST Systemic Infrastructure Grants, ARC LIEF, NCRIS/Auscope industry partners and Macquarie University. This is contribution 1425 from the ARC Centre of Excellence for Core to Crust Fluid Systems ([http://www.ccfs.mq.edu.au\)](http://www.ccfs.mq.edu.au) and 1367 in the GEMOC Key Centre [\(http://www.gemoc.mq.edu.au](http://www.gemoc.mq.edu.au)) Additional data sets supporting our conclusions are included in the Supporting Information.

- <span id="page-23-13"></span>Blanquat, M. de Saint, Horsman, E., Habert, G., Morgan, S., Vanderhaeghe, O., Law, R., Tikoff, B., 2011. Multiscale magmatic cyclicity, duration of pluton construction, and the paradoxical relationship between tectonism and plutonism in continental arcs. Tectonophysics 500, 20–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.12.009>.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>Bouhallier, H., Chardon, D., Choukroune, P., 1995. Strain patterns in Archaean domeand-basin structures: the Dharwar craton (Karnataka, South India). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 135, 57–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X\(95\)00144-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(95)00144-2).
- <span id="page-23-18"></span>Bouvier, A., Vervoort, J.D., Patchett, P.J., 2008. The Lu-Hf and Sm-Nd isotopic composition of CHUR: constraints from unequilibrated chondrites and implications for the bulk composition of terrestrial planets. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 273, 48–57. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.010) [doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.010)
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[Brun, J.P., Gapais, D., Le Theoff, B., 1981. The mantled gneiss domes of Kuopio \(Finland\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0020) [interfering diapirs. Tectonophysics 74, 283–304.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0020)
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>Calvert, A.J.A., Ludden, J.N., 1999. Archean continental assembly in the southeastern superior province of Canada. Tectonics 18, 412–429. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999TC900006) [1999TC900006](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999TC900006).
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>Capitanio, F.A., Nebel, O., Cawood, P.A., Weinberg, R.F., Clos, F., 2019. Lithosphere differentiation in the early Earth controls Archean tectonics. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 525, 115755. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115755.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115755)
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>[Cassidy, K., Champion, D., McNaughton, N., Whitaker, A., Bastrakova, I., Budd, A., 2002.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0035) [Characterisation and Metallogenic Significance of Archaean Granitoids of the Yilgarn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0035) [Craton, Western Australia. Minerals and Energy Research Institute of Western](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0035) [Australia \(MERIWA\) Project no. M281/AMIRA Project no. 482 \(unpublished Report](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0035) No. 2221
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>[Cassidy, K., Champion, D., Krapez, B., Barley, M., 2006. A revised geological framework](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0040) [for the Yilgarn Craton, Western Australia., Geological Survey of Western Australia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0040) [Record 8 \(8\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0040)
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>Chardon, D., Choukroune, P., Jayananda, M., 1996. Strain patterns, décollement and incipient sagducted greenstone terrains in the Archaean Dharwar craton (south India). J. Struct. Geol. 18, 991–1004. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(96\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(96)00031-4) [00031-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(96)00031-4).
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[Chen, S., Riganti, A., Wyche, S., Greenfield, J., 2003. Lithostratigraphy and tectonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0050) [evolution of contrasting greenstone successions in the central Yilgarn Craton,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0050) [Western Australia. Precambrian Res. 127, 249–266](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0050).
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>Choukroune, P., Ludden, J.N., Chardon, D., Calvert, A.J., Bouhallier, H., 1997. Archaean crustal growth and tectonic processes: a comparison of the Superior Province, Canada and the Dharwar Craton, India. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 121, 63–98. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1997.121.01.04) [doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1997.121.01.04.](https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1997.121.01.04)
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>Clos, F., Weinberg, R., Zibra, I., Fenwick, M., 2019a. Archean diapirism recorded by vertical sheath folds in the core of the Yalgoo Dome, Yilgarn Craton. Precambrian Res. 320, 391–402. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2018.11.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2018.11.010)
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>Clos, F., Weinberg, R., Zibra, I., Schwindinger, M., 2019b. Magmatic and anatectic history of a large Archean diapir: insights from the migmatitic core of the Yalgoo Dome, Yilgarn Craton. Lithos. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.04.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.04.012)
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[Collins, W., 1989. Polydiapirism of the Archean Mount Edgar Batholith, Pilbara Block,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0070) [Western Australia. Precambrian Res. 43, 41–62](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0070).
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>Collins, W., Van Kranendonk, M., Teyssier, C., 1998. Partial convective overturn of Archaean crust in the east Pilbara Craton, Western Australia: driving mechanisms and tectonic implications. J. Struct. Geol. 20, 1405–1424. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(98)00073-X) [S0191-8141\(98\)00073-X.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(98)00073-X)
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>[Condie, K.C., Benn, K., 2006. Archean geodynamics: similar to or different from modern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf1319) [geodynamics? Archean Geodyn. Environ. 47–59](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf1319).
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>Condie, K.C., O'Neill, C., 2010. The Archean-Proterozoic boundary: 500 My of tectonic transition in earth history. Am. J. Sci. 310, 775–790. [https://doi.org/10.2475/09.](https://doi.org/10.2475/09.2010.01) [2010.01.](https://doi.org/10.2475/09.2010.01)
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>Cruden, A.R., 1988. Deformation around a rising diapir modeled by creeping flow past a

<span id="page-23-17"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> References cited in [Table 1](#page-4-0) and in Supporting Information files (reported here below just to allow insertion in the list, which is automatically generated by the Mendeley citation software): [Bouvier et al. \(2008\);](#page-23-18) [De Bievre and Taylor](#page-24-53) [\(1993\)](#page-24-53); [Griffin et al. \(2000\);](#page-24-54) [Griffin et al. \(2002\)](#page-24-55); [Pearson et al. \(2008\);](#page-24-56) [Söderlund et al. \(2004\)](#page-24-57); [Lu et al. \(2016a\);](#page-24-21) [Lu et al. \(2016b, 2018b\);](#page-24-27) [Wingate](#page-25-16) [et al. \(2015a, 2018\)](#page-25-16); [Lu et al. \(2017a, 2017b\);](#page-24-29) [Wingate et al. \(2015b\);](#page-25-29) [Lu et al.](#page-24-58) [\(2017c\)](#page-24-58); [Wiedenbeck and Watkins \(1993\)](#page-25-17); [Cassidy et al. \(2002\);](#page-23-11) [Lu et al.](#page-24-31) [\(2020a, 2020b\)](#page-24-31).

<span id="page-24-46"></span>sphere. Tectonics 7, 1091–1101. [https://doi.org/10.1029/TC007i005p01091.](https://doi.org/10.1029/TC007i005p01091) [Cruden, A., 1990. Flow and fabric development during the diapiric rise of magma. J. Geol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0090) [98, 681–698](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0090).

- <span id="page-24-12"></span>[Cruden, A., Nasseri, M., Pysklywec, R., 2006. Surface topography and internal strain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0095) [variation in wide hot orogens from three-dimensional analogue and two-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0095) [numerical vice models. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. 253, 79–104.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0095)
- <span id="page-24-53"></span>[De Bievre, P., Taylor, P.D.P., 1993. Table of the isotopic compositions of the elements. Int.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0100) [J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Process. 123, 149–166](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0100).
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>Dixon, J.M., 1975. Finite strain and progressive deformation in models of diapiric structures. Tectonophysics 28, 89–124. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(75\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(75)90060-8) [90060-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(75)90060-8).
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>Dixon, J.M., Summers, J.M., 1983. Patterns of total and incremental strain in subsiding troughs: experimental centrifuged models of inter- diapir synclines. Can. J. Earth Sci. 20, 1843–1861. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e83-175>.
- <span id="page-24-19"></span>Drummond, B.J., Goleby, B.R., Swager, C.P., 2000. Crustal signature of Late Archaean tectonic episodes in the Yilgarn craton, Western Australia: evidence from deep seismic sounding. Tectonophysics 329, 193-221. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(00)00196-7) [1951\(00\)00196-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(00)00196-7).
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>Eskola, P.E., 1948. The problem of mantled gneiss domes. Q. J. Geol. Soc. 104, 461–476. [https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.JGS.1948.104.01-04.21.](https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.JGS.1948.104.01-04.21)
- <span id="page-24-23"></span>[Fenwick, M.J., 2014. Structural evolution of the Yalgoo Dome, Yilgarn Craton, Western](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0125) [Australia: a core perspective. In: Geological Survey of Western Australia, Record](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0125) [2014/16](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0125).
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>[François, C., Philippot, P., Rey, P., Rubatto, D., 2014. Burial and exhumation during](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0130) [Archean sagduction in the east Pilbara granite-greenstone terrane. Earth Planet. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0130) [Lett. 396, 235–251.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0130)
- <span id="page-24-50"></span>Gapais, D., Jaguin, J., Cagnard, F., Boulvais, P., 2014. Pop-down tectonics, fluid channelling and ore deposits within ancient hot orogens. Tectonophysics 618, 102–106. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.01.027.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.01.027)
- <span id="page-24-51"></span>[Gerya, T., 2014. Precambrian geodynamics: concepts and models. Gondwana Res. 25,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0140) [442–463](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0140).
- <span id="page-24-35"></span>Gower, R.J.W., Simpson, C., 1992. Phase boundary mobility in naturally deformed, highgrade quartzofeldspathic rocks: evidence for diffusional creep. J. Struct. Geol. 14, 301–313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(92\)90088-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(92)90088-E).
- <span id="page-24-54"></span>Griffin, W.L., Pearson, N.J., Belousova, E., Jackson, S.E., Van Achterbergh, E., O'Reilly, S.Y., Shee, S.R., 2000. The Hf isotope composition of cratonic mantle: LAM-MC-ICPMS analysis of zircon megacrysts in kimberlites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 64, 133–147. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(99\)00343-9.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00343-9)
- <span id="page-24-55"></span>Griffin, W.L., Wang, X., Jackson, S.E., Pearson, N.J., O'Reilly, S.Y., Xu, X., Zhou, X., 2002. Zircon chemistry and magma mixing, SE China: in-situ analysis of Hf isotopes, Tonglu and Pingtan igneous complexes. Lithos 61, 237–269. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(02)00082-8) [S0024-4937\(02\)00082-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(02)00082-8).
- <span id="page-24-39"></span>[Hammes, D.M., Peternell, M., 2016. FAME: software for analysing rock microstructures.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0160) [Comput. Geosci. 90, 24–33.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0160)
- <span id="page-24-49"></span>Hickman, A.H., 2004. Two contrasting granite-greenstone terranes in the Pilbara Craton, Australia: Evidence for vertical and horizontal tectonic regimes prior to 2900 Ma. In: Precambrian Research, pp. 153–172. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2003.12.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2003.12.009) [009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2003.12.009).
- <span id="page-24-15"></span>Ivanic, T., Van Kranendonk, M.J., Kirkland, C.L., Wyche, S., Wingate, M.T.D., Belousova, E.A., 2012. Zircon Lu-Hf isotopes and granite geochemistry of the Murchison Domain of the Yilgarn Craton: Evidence for reworking of Eoarchean crust during Meso-Neoarchean plume-driven magmatism. Lithos 148, 112–127. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2012.06.006) [1016/j.lithos.2012.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2012.06.006).
- <span id="page-24-20"></span>[Ivanic, T., Li, J., Meng, Y., Guo, L., Yu, J., Chen, S.F., Wyche, S., Zibra, I., 2015. Yalgoo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0170) [WA Sheet 2241: Geological Survey of Western Australia, 1:100 000 Geological Series.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0170)
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>Jackson, M.P.A., Talbot, C.J., 1989. Anatomy of mushroom-shaped diapirs. J. Struct. Geol. 11, 211–230. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(89\)90044-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(89)90044-8).
- <span id="page-24-43"></span>Johnson, T.E., Brown, M., Gardiner, N.J., Kirkland, C.L., Smithies, R.H., 2017. Earth's first stable continents did not form by subduction. Nature 543, 239–242. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21383) [10.1038/nature21383](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21383).
- <span id="page-24-16"></span>[Klein, C., 2005. Some Precambrian banded iron-formations \(BIFs\) from around the world:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0180) [their age, geologic setting, mineralogy, metamorphism, geochemistry, and origin.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0180) [Am. Mineral. 90, 1473–1499.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0180)
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>Kruckenberg, S.C., Vanderhaeghe, O., Ferré, E.C., Teyssier, C., Whitney, D.L., 2011. Flow of partially molten crust and the internal dynamics of a migmatite dome, Naxos, Greece. Tectonics 30[https://doi.org/10.1029/2010TC002751.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2010TC002751) (n/a-n/a).
- <span id="page-24-45"></span>Kruckenberg, S., Martin, L., Gerbault, M., Vanderhaeghe, O., Deloule, E., Duchêne, S., 2018. Crustal-scale convection and diapiric upwelling of a partially molten orogenic root (Naxos dome, Greece). Tectonophysics 746, 459–469. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.03.007) [j.tecto.2018.03.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.03.007)
- <span id="page-24-33"></span>[Kruhl, J.H., 1996. Prism- and basal-plane parallel subgrain boundaries in quartz: A mi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0195)[crostructural geothermobarometer. J. Metamorph. Geol. 14, 581–589](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0195).
- <span id="page-24-41"></span>Law, R.D., 2014. Deformation thermometry based on quartz c-axis fabrics and recrystallization microstructures: a review. J. Struct. Geol. 66, 129–161. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2014.05.023) [org/10.1016/j.jsg.2014.05.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2014.05.023).
- <span id="page-24-47"></span>Lin, S., Parks, J., Heaman, L.M., Simonetti, A., Corkery, M.T., 2013. Diapirism and sagduction as a mechanism for deposition and burial of "Timiskaming-type" sedimentary sequences, Superior Province: evidence from detrital zircon geochronology and implications for the Borden Lake conglomerate in the exposed middle to lower. Precambrian Res 238, 148–157. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2013.09.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2013.09.012)
- <span id="page-24-42"></span>[Lister, G., 1977. Discussion: crossed-girdle c-axis fabrics in quartzites plastically deformed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0210) [by plane strain and progressive simple shear. Tectonophysics 39, 51–54.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0210)
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>Lister, G.S., Davis, G.A., 1989. The origin of metamorphic core complexes and detachment faults formed during Tertiary continental extension in the northern Colorado River region, U.S.A. J. Struct. Geol. 11, 65–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(89)90036-9) [8141\(89\)90036-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(89)90036-9).
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>Little, T.A., Hacker, B.R., Gordon, S.M., Baldwin, S.L., Fitzgerald, P.G., Ellis, S., Korchinski, M., 2011. Diapiric exhumation of Earth's youngest (UHP) eclogites in the gneiss domes of the D'Entrecasteaux Islands, Papua New Guinea. Tectonophysics 510, 39–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.06.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.06.006)
- <span id="page-24-26"></span>[Lu, Yongjun, Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., Ivanic, T., 2016. 211101: Volcaniclastic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0225) [Mudstone, Wadgingarra Hill; Geochronology Record 1337. Geological Survey of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0225) [Western Australia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0225)
- <span id="page-24-21"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., Ivanic, T., 2016a. 198294: metamorphosed Quartz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0230) [Diorite, Mugs Luck Mine; Geochronology Record 1332: Geological Survey of Western](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0230) [Australia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0230)
- <span id="page-24-27"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., Ivanic, T., 2016b. 198298: Metadacite,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0235) [Wadgingarra Well; Geochronology Record 1334: Geological Survey of Western](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0235) [Australia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0235)
- <span id="page-24-29"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., Zibra, I., 2017a. 214101: monzogranite gneiss,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0240) [Picketer Well. In: Geochronology Record 1466. Geological Survey of Western](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0240) [Australia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0240)
- <span id="page-24-28"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., Zibra, I., 2017b. 155858: metamonzogranite, Mac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0245) [Well. In: Geochronology Record 1393. Geological Survey of Western Australia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0245)
- <span id="page-24-58"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., Zibra, I., 2017c. 209689: Metatonalite, Wooley](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0250) [Spring; Geochronology Record 1463: Geological Survey of Western Australia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0250).
- <span id="page-24-22"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M.T.D., Ivanic, T.J., 2018a. 198296: Quartz Sandstone, Warriedar Hill;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0255) [Geochronology Record 1501: Geological Survey of Western Australia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0255).
- [Lu, Y., Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., 2018b. 203701: metadacite, Cattle Well. In:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0260) [Geochronology Record 1495. Geological Survey of Western Australia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0260).
- <span id="page-24-31"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M., Zibra, I., 2020a. 155843: tonalite gneiss, Windagalcudga Well. In:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0265) [Geochronology Record 1646. Geological Survey of Western Australia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0265).
- <span id="page-24-30"></span>[Lu, Y., Wingate, M., Zibra, I., 2020b. 155875: metagranodiorite, Mulga Well. In:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0270) [Geochronology Record 1647. Geological Survey of Western Australia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0270).
- <span id="page-24-40"></span>[Mainprice, D., Bouchez, J.J.L., Blumenfeld, P., Tubià, J.M.J., 1986. Dominant c slip in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0275) [naturally deformed quartz: implications for dramatic plastic softening at high tem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0275)[perature. Geology 14, 819–822 doi:10.1130/0091-7613\(1986\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0275)  $14 < 819$ :DCSIND  $> 2.0$ .CO;2.
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>Mareschal, J.-C., West, G.F., 1980. A model for Archean tectonism. Part 2. Numerical models of vertical tectonism in greenstone belts. Can. J Earth Sci. 17, 60–71. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1139/e80-006) [doi.org/10.1139/e80-006](https://doi.org/10.1139/e80-006).
- <span id="page-24-18"></span>[Morris, P.A., Riganti, A., Chen, S.F., 2007. Evaluating the provenance of Archean sedi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0285)[mentary rocks of the Diemals Formation \(central Yilgarn Craton\) using whole-rock](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0285) [chemistry and precise U-Pb zircon chronology. Aust. J. Earth Sci. 54, 1123–1136](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0285).

<span id="page-24-13"></span>Myers, J.S., 1995. The generation and assembly of an Archaean supercontinent: evidence from the Yilgarn craton, Western Australia. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 95, 143–154. [https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.095.01.09.](https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.095.01.09)

<span id="page-24-14"></span>[Myers, J.S., Watkins, K.P., 1985. Origin of granite-greenstone patterns, Yilgarn Block,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0295) [Western Australia. Geology 13, 778–780](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0295).

<span id="page-24-24"></span>Nebel, O., Capitanio, F.A., Moyen, J.-F.F., Weinberg, R.F., Clos, F., Nebel-Jacobsen, Y.J., Cawood, P.A., 2018. When crust comes of age: on the chemical evolution of Archaean, felsic continental crust by crustal drip tectonics. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 20180103. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0103>.

<span id="page-24-37"></span>[Passchier, C., Trouw, R.A.J., 2005. Microtectonics, second ed. Springer, Berlin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0305) [Heidelberg, New York. Springer, Berlin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0305).

- <span id="page-24-56"></span>[Pearson, N.J., Griffin, W.L., O'Reilly, S.Y., 2008. Mass Fractionation Correction in Laser](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0310) [Ablation Multiple-collector ICP-MS: Implications for Overlap Corrections and Precise](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0310) [and Accurate In Situ Isotope Ratio Measurement.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0310)
- <span id="page-24-38"></span>[Peternell, M., Hasalová, P., Wilson, C.J.L., Piazolo, S., Schulmann, K., 2010. Evaluating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0315) [quartz crystallographic preferred orientations and the role of deformation parti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0315)[tioning using EBSD and fabric analyser techniques. J. Struct. Geol. 32, 803–817](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0315).
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>Ramberg, H., 1972. Theoretical models of density stratification and diapirism in the Earth. J. Geo. Res. 77, 877–889. [https://doi.org/10.1029/JB077i005p00877.](https://doi.org/10.1029/JB077i005p00877)
- <span id="page-24-48"></span>Ramberg, H., 1981. The role of gravity in orogenic belts. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 9, 125–140. [https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1981.009.01.11.](https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1981.009.01.11)
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>Ramsay, J.G., 1967. Folding and Fracturing of Rocks. McGraw-Hill, New York. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.160.3826.410) [doi.org/10.1126/science.160.3826.410.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.160.3826.410)
- <span id="page-24-52"></span>Rey, P.F., Coltice, N., 2008. Neoarchean lithospheric strengthening and the coupling of Earth's geochemical reservoirs. Geology 36, 635. [https://doi.org/10.1130/](https://doi.org/10.1130/G25031A.1) [G25031A.1.](https://doi.org/10.1130/G25031A.1)
- <span id="page-24-32"></span>[Rosenberg, C., Stünitz, H., 2003. Deformation and recrystallization of plagioclase along a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0345) [temperature gradient: an example from the Bergell tonalite. J. Struct. Geol. 25,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0345) [389–408](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0345).
- <span id="page-24-34"></span>Sawyer, E.W., 1999. Criteria for the recognition of partial melting. Phys. Chem. Earth, Part A Solid Earth Geod. 24, 269–279. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-1895\(99\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-1895(99)00029-0) [00029-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-1895(99)00029-0).
- <span id="page-24-25"></span>[Sawyer, E.W., 2008. Atlas of migmatites. The Canadian Mineralogist, Special publication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf4159) [9. NRC Research Press, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf4159).
- <span id="page-24-36"></span>[Simpson, C., Wintsch, R.P., 1989. Evidence for deformation-induced K-feldspar replace](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0355)[ment by myrmekite. J. Metamorph. Geol. 7, 261–275](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0355).
- <span id="page-24-44"></span>[Smithies, R.H., 2000. The Archaean tonalite–trondhjemite–granodiorite \(TTG\) series is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf1983) [not an analogue of Cenozoic adakite. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 182, 115–125.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf1983)
- <span id="page-24-17"></span>Smithies, R., Ivanic, T.J., Lowrey, J.R., Morris, P.A., Barnes, S.J., Wyche, S., Lu, Y.-J., 2018. Two distinct origins for Archean greenstone belts. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 487, 106–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.01.034>.
- <span id="page-24-57"></span>Söderlund, U., Patchett, P.J., Vervoort, J.D., Isachsen, C.E., 2004. The176Lu decay constant determined by Lu-Hf and U-Pb isotope systematics of Precambrian mafic intrusions. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 219, 311–324. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(04)00012-3) [\(04\)00012-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(04)00012-3).
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>Stephansson, O., 1975. Polydiapirism of granitic rocks in the Svecofennian of Central Sweden. Precambrian Res. 2, 189–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268\(75\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268(75)90003-0)

[90003-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268(75)90003-0).

- <span id="page-25-20"></span>[Stipp, M., Stünitz, H., Heilbronner, R., Schmid, S.M., 2002. The eastern Tonale fault zone:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0375) [a 'natural laboratory' for crystal plastic deformation of quartz over a temperature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0375) [range from 250 to 700 C. J. Struct. Geol. 24, 1861–1884](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0375).
- <span id="page-25-18"></span>Sullivan, W.A., 2013. L tectonites. J. Struct. Geol. 50, 161–175. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.01.022) [j.jsg.2012.01.022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.01.022)
- <span id="page-25-26"></span>[Talbot, C.J., Jackson, M.P.A., 1987. Internal kinematics of salt diapirs. Am. Assoc. Pet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0385) [Geol. Bull. 71, 1068–1093.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0385)
- <span id="page-25-24"></span>[Teyssier, C., Whitney, D.L., 2002. Gneiss domes and orogeny. Geology 30, 1139](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0390) [doi:10.1130/0091-7613\(2002\)030 < 1139:GDAO > 2.0.CO;2.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0390)
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>Van der Velden, A.J., Cook, F.A., Drummond, B.J., Goleby, B.R., 2006. Reflections of the Neoarchean: a global perspective. In: Archean Geodynamics and Environments, pp. 255–265. [https://doi.org/10.1029/164GM16.](https://doi.org/10.1029/164GM16)
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>[Van Kranendonk, M., Collins, W., Hickman, A., 2004. Critical tests of vertical vs. hor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0400)[izontal tectonic models for the Archaean East Pilbara granite–greenstone terrane,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0400) [Pilbara craton, western Australia. Precambrian Res. 131, 173–211](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0400).
- <span id="page-25-7"></span>[Van Kranendonk, M., Ivanic, T.J., Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C.L., Wyche, S., 2013. Long](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0405)[lived, autochthonous development of the Archean Murchison Domain, and implica](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0405)[tions for Yilgarn Craton tectonics. Precambrian Res. 229, 49–92](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0405).
- <span id="page-25-25"></span>Vanderhaeghe, O., 2004. Structural development of the Naxos migmatite dome. In: Special Paper 380: Gneiss Domes in Orogeny. Geological Society of America, pp. 211–227. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2380-9.211.](https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2380-9.211)
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>Vanderhaeghe, O., Teyssier, C., Wysoczanski, R., 2011. Structural and geochronological constraints on the role of partial melting during the formation of the Shuswap metamorphic core complex at the latitude of the Thor-Odin dome, British Columbia. Can. J. Earth Sci. 36, 917–943. [https://doi.org/10.1139/e99-023.](https://doi.org/10.1139/e99-023)
- <span id="page-25-12"></span>[Vearncombe, J., 1998. Shear zones, fault networks, and Archean gold. Geology 26,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0420) [855–858](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0420).

<span id="page-25-14"></span>[Watkins, K., Hickman, A., 1990. Geological Evolution and Mineralization of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0425) [Murchison Province, Western Australia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0425)

- <span id="page-25-28"></span>Weinberg, R.F., 1997. Diapir-driven crustal convection: decompression melting, renewal of the magma source and the origin of nested plutons. Tectonophysics 271, 217–229. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(96\)00269-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00269-7)
- <span id="page-25-27"></span>Weinberg, R.F., Podladchikov, Y., 1994. Diapiric ascent of magmas through power law crust and mantle. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 99, 9543–9559. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1029/93JB03461) [1029/93JB03461](https://doi.org/10.1029/93JB03461).
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>[Weinberg, R.F., Podladchikov, Y.Y., 1995. The rise of solid-state diapirs. J. Struct. Geol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0440) [17, 1183–1195.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0440)
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>Weinberg, R., Schmeling, H., 1992. Polydiapirs: multiwavelength gravity structures. J. Struct. Geol. 14, 425–436. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(92\)90103-4.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(92)90103-4) Whitney, D.L., Teyssier, C., Vanderhaeghe, O., 2004. Gneiss domes and crustal flow. Geol.
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>Soc. Am. Spec. Pap 1–19. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0–8137–2380-9.15](https://doi.org/10.1130/0�8137�2380-9.15).
- <span id="page-25-17"></span>Wiedenbeck, M., Watkins, K.P., 1993. A time scale for granitoid emplacement in the

Archean Murchison Province, Western Australia, by single zircon geochronology. Precambrian Res. 61, 1–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268\(93\)90055-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268(93)90055-7).

- <span id="page-25-9"></span>[Wilde, S.A., Middleton, M.F., Evans, B.J., 1996. Terrane accretion in the southwestern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0460) [Yilgarn Craton: evidence from a deep seismic crustal profile. Precambrian Res. 78,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0460) [179–196](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0460).
- <span id="page-25-21"></span>Wilson, C.J.L., Russell-Head, D.S., Kunze, K., Viola, G., 2007. The analysis of quartz c-axis fabrics using a modified optical microscope. J. Microsc. 227, 30–41. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.2007.01784.x) [10.1111/j.1365-2818.2007.01784.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.2007.01784.x).
- <span id="page-25-16"></span>[Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C., Zibra, I., Wyche, S., 2015a. 155822: monzogranite gneiss,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0470) [Three Mile Well. In: Geochronology Record 1247. Geological Survey of Western](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0470) **Australia**
- <span id="page-25-29"></span>[Wingate, M.T.D., Kirkland, C.L., Zibra, I., 2015b. 155879: Granodiorite Gneiss, Toben](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0475) [Bore; Geochronology Record 1245: Geological Survey of Western Australia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0475).
- <span id="page-25-15"></span>[Wingate, M.T.D., Lu, Y., Ivanic, T., 2018. 207628: Altered Metamonzogranite, Mulgine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0480) [Hill; Geochronology Record 1460: Geological Survey of Western Australia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0480)
- <span id="page-25-11"></span>Wyche, S., Kirkland, C.L., Riganti, A., Pawley, M.J., Belousova, E., Wingate, M.T.D., 2012. Isotopic constraints on stratigraphy in the central and eastern Yilgarn Craton, Western Australia. Aust. J. Earth 59, 657–670. [https://doi.org/10.1080/08120099.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08120099.2012.697677)
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>[2012.697677](https://doi.org/10.1080/08120099.2012.697677). Yin, A., 2004. Gneiss domes and gneiss dome systems. In: Special Paper 380: Gneiss Domes in Orogeny. Geological Society of America, pp. 1–14. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2380-9.1) [1130/0-8137-2380-9.1](https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2380-9.1).
- <span id="page-25-23"></span>[Zeh, A., Gerdes, A., Klemd, R., Barton Jr., J.M., 2008. U-Pb and Lu-Hf isotope record of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0495) [detrital zircon grains from the Limpopo Belt–evidence for crustal recycling at the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0495) [Hadean to early-Archean transition. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 5304–5329](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0040-1951(20)30058-5/rf0495).
- <span id="page-25-19"></span>Zibra, I., 2012. Syndeformational granite crystallisation along the Mount Magnet Greenstone Belt, Yilgarn Craton: evidence of large-scale magma-driven strain localisation during Neoarchean times. Aust. J. Earth Sci. 59, 793–806. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1080/08120099.2012.684887) [10.1080/08120099.2012.684887](https://doi.org/10.1080/08120099.2012.684887).
- <span id="page-25-22"></span>Zibra, I., Kruhl, J., Montanini, A., Tribuzio, R., 2012. Shearing of magma along a highgrade shear zone: evolution of microstructures during the transition from magmatic to solid-state flow. J. Struct. Geol. 37, 150–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.01.011) [01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.01.011).
- <span id="page-25-10"></span>Zibra, I., Gessner, K., Smithies, H., Peternell, M., 2014. On shearing, magmatism and regional deformation in Neoarchean granite-greenstone systems: INSIGHTS from the Yilgarn Craton. J. Struct. Geol. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2013.11.010>.
- <span id="page-25-13"></span>Zibra, I., Ivanic, T.J., Chen, S.F., Clos, F., 2016. Badja, WA Sheet 2240: Geological Survey of Western Australia. In: 1:100 000 Geological Series, Available at: [http://](http://dmpbookshop.eruditetechnologies.com.au/product/badja-wa-sheet-2240.do.Perth) [dmpbookshop.eruditetechnologies.com.au/product/badja-wa-sheet-2240.do.Perth](http://dmpbookshop.eruditetechnologies.com.au/product/badja-wa-sheet-2240.do.Perth).
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>Zibra, I., Clos, F., Weinberg, R., Peternell, M., 2017. The  $\sim$  2730 Ma onset of the Neoarchean Yilgarn Orogeny. Tectonics 36, 1787–1813. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004562) [2017TC004562](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004562).